

A VANISHING RESULT FOR A CASSON-TYPE INSTANTON INVARIANT

RAPHAEL ZENTNER

ABSTRACT. Casson-type invariants emerging from Donaldson theory over certain negative definite 4-manifolds were recently suggested by Andrei Teleman. These are defined by a count of a zero-dimensional moduli space of flat instantons. Motivated by the cobordism program of proving Witten's conjecture, we use a moduli space of $PU(2)$ Seiberg-Witten monopoles to exhibit an oriented one-dimensional cobordism of the instanton moduli space to the empty space. The Casson-type invariant must therefore vanish.

INTRODUCTION

In a recent work of Andrei Teleman [21] low energy instanton moduli spaces defined over smooth, closed, oriented, negative definite four-manifolds X appeared. All these moduli spaces are compact and do not contain reducibles. Among these are certain 'Casson-type' moduli spaces defined for manifolds with $b_2(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. If in addition $b_1(X) = 1$ the expected dimension of the moduli space is zero, and a 'Casson-type' invariant can be defined by an algebraic count of the elements in the moduli space, provided the latter is regular. This type of invariant has been suggested by Teleman, although an explicit definition of the invariant is missing in [21].

Andrew Lobb and the author have shown that non-emptiness of the Casson-type moduli space also gives an obstruction to certain connected sum decompositions of the four-manifold, ruling out natural appearing candidates for a non-empty moduli space. We also have studied a method to obtain manifolds with non-empty Casson-type moduli space by surgery on regular neighbourhoods of two-knots in $4\mathbb{CP}^2$ with trivial normal bundle. We are confident that this method will eventually yield non-empty moduli spaces [15], at least for manifolds with boundary.

Similar moduli spaces and Casson-type invariants have been defined by Ruberman and Saveliev for $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -homology Hopf surfaces, going back to work of Furuta and Ohta [12], and for $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]$ -homology 4-tori [18]. In particular, these invariants are in general non-vanishing. Non-vanishing results were also expected for the Casson-type invariants considered here. However, the author was informed in June 2008 by Andrei Teleman that in the perspective of the 'cobordism program' for proving Witten's conjecture he conjectured the invariant to vanish. He expected that this could be proved using the formalism of 'virtual fundamental classes'. The author, however, saw the possibility of proving this with a genuine cobordism provided one uses suitable (holonomy) perturbations. Even though the idea is heuristically easily described it actually requires some technical amount of work. In particular, the machinery developed by Feehan and Leness [6, 7, 8, 9], and the results by Teleman [23] and Okonek-Teleman [16] do not apply to the case required for our situation,

at least not directly.

In this article we will give an explicit definition of the Casson-type instanton invariant suggested by Teleman. We will actually show that his idea can be extended to a more general situation, where the requirement on the second Betti number is weakened to $b_2(X) \geq 4$ (thanks to a remark by Kim Frøyshov made to the author). We will generalise the holonomy perturbations as used by Peter Kronheimer in [13] for higher rank instanton moduli spaces in order to obtain generic regularity for the monopole moduli spaces we consider. Natural orientations for the moduli space, obtained by the determinant line bundle of the (Fredholm-) deformation operator parametrised by the configuration space, then yields the Casson-type invariant as algebraic count of the perturbed moduli space. That this signed count is independent of the chosen perturbation then follows from the fact that the parametrised moduli space doesn't contain reducibles, and the fact that the orientation was chosen in the given natural way from the ambient configuration space containing the moduli space.

The idea of the cobordism program is to use $PU(2)$ monopole moduli spaces containing both the instanton moduli space defining the Donaldson-invariant, and certain Seiberg-Witten moduli space as fixed point subspaces of a natural circle-action. The S^1 -quotient of this moduli space then yields a cobordism between a bundle over the instanton moduli space and bundles over the Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces, at least heuristically, provided that the index of the coupled Dirac operator is positive. There are many very difficult technical problems involved, mainly because the moduli space needs not to be compact and parts of the instanton moduli space and some Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces may lie in lower strata of the Uhlenbeck-compactification.

In our situation the Casson-type instanton moduli space is compact and zero-dimensional. We will show that a compact two-dimensional $PU(2)$ monopole moduli space can be found, and that the S^1 quotient yields an oriented cobordism between the Casson-type moduli space and the empty space. This implies that the algebraic count is zero, so the Casson-type invariant vanishes.

Technically our approach to transversality is essentially different from Feehan and Leness's who have used holonomy perturbations as well in earlier versions of their work [6]. In their situation the holonomy perturbation term of the curvature equation becomes zero if the spinor vanishes, which is fine in their situation as the instanton moduli space can already be made regular by perturbing the metric, this fact being not true in our situation. Secondly, their holonomy perturbations are supported in a finite number of balls on the manifold, and they require elaborate arguments that imply that local reducibility for the connection component of the monopoles imply global reducibility. This continuation theorem seems to fail for instantons, see the Remark 5.24 in [6], and so we cannot apply their technique to get generic regularity of the instanton moduli space. Apparently their 'indirect' way to kill the cokernels of the derivative of the parametrised monopole map is needed so that they allow glueing theory in their program of proving Witten's conjecture.

In our situation we cannot allow the holonomy perturbation term of the curvature equation vanish if the spinor component vanishes. On the other hand, we need

to add somewhat artificial cut-off factors in the perturbed monopole equations in order to obtain an a-priori bound on the spinor component. As we do not have control of this cut-off factor for a sequence of monopoles that ‘goes to infinity’ we use a second cut-off factor that vanishes for a sequence tending to infinity. As a result, the monopoles (and instantons) appearing in the lower strata appear all without holonomy perturbations. There we use classical perturbations to obtain regularity, following Teleman [21]. Similarly, classical perturbations were also studied by Feehan in [5].

From the point of view of investigating invariants of smooth four-manifold our vanishing result is negative. However, it appears that this result has relevance to Teleman’s classification program on complex surfaces of class VII [22, 24], and in this perspective, it is rather a positive result.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Kim Frøyshov, Daniel Ruberman, and Andrei Teleman for helpful discussions on these Casson-type instantons and related gauge theory.

1. CASSON-INVARIANT FOR NEGATIVE DEFINITE FOUR-MANIFOLDS

In this section we define Teleman’s Casson-type instanton invariant via gauge theory of anti-selfdual connections in appropriate bundles. We shall first define the configuration space and fix our notation conventions, then define the moduli space and discuss some of its properties. Then a suitable space of holonomy perturbations is introduced in order to get a regular moduli space. After introducing preferred orientations for the zero-dimensional moduli space we define the invariant. The book of Donaldson and Kronheimer [4] can be seen as a general reference here.

1.1. The configuration space. Let X be a smooth closed oriented Riemannian four-manifold. Let further $E \rightarrow X$ be a Hermitian rank-2 bundle. We suppose a smooth connection a on the determinant line $\det(E)$ is fixed, and define the space $\mathcal{A}_a(E)$ to be the affine space of unitary connections in E which induce the fixed connection a in the determinant line bundle, and which are of Sobolev class L^2_l with $l \geq 3$. This choice of l ensures that there is a Sobolev embedding $L^2_l \hookrightarrow C^0$ which we will use further down. We define the ‘gauge group’ $\mathcal{G}$ to be the group of automorphisms of E with determinant one and of Sobolev class L^2_{l+1} . It acts by the formula $(u, \nabla_A) \mapsto u \circ \nabla_A \circ u^{-1}$ if we denote by ∇_A the linear connection A and $u \in \mathcal{G}$. The quotient $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}_a(E)/\mathcal{G}$ is called the configuration space. We shall denote by $\Gamma_A \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ the stabiliser of the connection A under the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$. It is the centraliser of the holonomy group associated to A . The centre $Z = \mathbb{Z}/2$ of $SU(2)$, seen as constant gauge transformations on X , is in the stabiliser Γ_A for any connection. A connection A is called irreducible if $\Gamma_A = Z$, otherwise reducible. A connection A on E is reducible if and only if there is a proper A -invariant subbundle of E .

There is an equivalent viewpoint of the space $\mathcal{A}_a(E)$ and the configuration space $\mathcal{B}$ which is the following: Denote by $P \rightarrow X$ a principal bundle with structure group $U(2)$ and by $\text{ad}(P) \rightarrow X$ the $PU(2)$ bundle associated to P by the adjoint

representation. Let $\mathcal{A}(\text{ad}(P))$ denote the space of $PU(2)$ connections in $\text{ad}(P)$ of class L^2_l . The group of automorphisms of P of determinant one and Sobolev class L^2_{l+1} acts on $\mathcal{A}(\text{ad}(P))$. The space $\mathcal{A}(\text{ad}(P))$ is naturally isomorphic to the space $\mathcal{A}_a(E)$ above if the bundle P is taken as the unitary frame bundle P_E of E , and this is equivariant with respect to the mentioned automorphism groups. In this case the bundle $\text{ad}(P)$ is naturally identified with the bundle $\mathfrak{su}(E)$ of traceless skew-adjoint endomorphisms of E . Unless we want to make explicit our viewpoint we shall simply write $\mathcal{A}$ for the spaces of connections we have in mind.

Principal $U(2)$ bundles or Hermitian vector bundles of rank 2 on a manifold of dimension 4 are classified by their first and second Chern-classes. However, it is a common convention in gauge theory, notably in our main references [4], [8], [13] to encode this information in the determinant line bundle $w \rightarrow X$ (or its first Chern class) of E respectively P , and in the ‘instanton number’

$$\kappa = -\frac{1}{4}\langle p_1(\mathfrak{su}(E)), [X] \rangle = \langle c_2(E) - \frac{1}{4}c_1(E)^2, [X] \rangle. \quad (1)$$

In notation we will stick to the common convention and write $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^w$ for the configuration space $\mathcal{B}$ if we want to make explicit the underlying bundle.

The subspace of $\mathcal{A}$ of irreducible connections is as usually denoted by $\mathcal{A}^*$. There are slices for the action of $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{A}^*$ giving $\mathcal{B}^* = \mathcal{A}^*/\mathcal{G}$ the structure of a Banach manifold.

1.2. The moduli space. We denote by F_A the curvature of the connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(\text{ad}(P_E)) = \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{su}(E))$ and F_A^+ its self-dual part. Recall that it is equivariant with respect to the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$. The anti-selfduality equation for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is

$$F_A^+ = 0. \quad (2)$$

The moduli space of anti-selfdual connections in $\text{ad}(P)$ is defined to be the space

$$M_\kappa^w := \{[A] \in \mathcal{B}_\kappa^w \mid F_A^+ = 0\}.$$

Elements of this moduli space or particular representatives are referred to as *instantons*.

There is an elliptic deformation complex associated to an instanton $[A]$:

$$0 \rightarrow L^2_{l+1}(X; \mathfrak{su}(E)) \xrightarrow{-d_A} L^2_l(X; \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \xrightarrow{d_A^+} L^2_{l-1}(X; \Lambda^2_+ \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here the second map is the derivative of the gauge-group action on A and the third is the derivative of the map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow L^2_{l-1}(X; \mathfrak{su}(E))$ given by the left hand side of the equation (2). The cohomology groups of this complex are as usually denoted by H_A^0, H_A^1 and H_A^2 . An instanton A is irreducible if and only if H_A^0 is zero. It is called *regular* if H_A^2 vanishes, and the moduli space M_κ^w is regular if each instanton in it is regular. If an instanton $[A]$ is both irreducible and regular, then the moduli space M has the structure of a smooth manifold in a neighbourhood of $[A]$. Its dimension is given by minus the index of the above complex or by the index of the ‘deformation operator’

$$\delta_A := -d_A^* \oplus d_A^+ : L^2_l(X; \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \rightarrow L^2_{l-1}(X; \mathfrak{su}(E)) \oplus \Lambda^2_+ \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E). \quad (3)$$

This index is given by the number

$$\begin{aligned} d &:= 4\kappa + 3(b_1(X) - b_2^+(X) - 1) \\ &= -2\langle p_1(\mathfrak{su}(E)), [X] \rangle + 3(b_1(X) - b_2^+(X) - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This number is the *expected dimension* of the moduli space M_κ^w .

In general the moduli space M_κ^w need not to be compact. However, there is the natural ‘Uhlenbeck-compactification’ of it. In fact sequences of instantons can have sub-sequences whose curvatures become more and more concentrated at points of the manifold - the phenomenon called *bubbling*. As usually, we define an ideal instanton of instanton number κ to be a pair $([A], \mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{x}$ is an element of the n -fold symmetric product $Sym^n(X) = X^n/S_n$ (an unordered n -tuple of points in X) for some $n \geq 0$ and $[A]$ is an instanton in the moduli space $M_{\kappa-n}^w$. There is a topology on the space of ideal instantons

$$IM_\kappa^w := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{\kappa-n}^w \times Sym^n(X)$$

which is compact. In this topology each stratum admits its previously defined topology, but different strata are related by the notion of ‘weak convergence’ [4, §4.4.]. The closure $\overline{M}_\kappa^w \subseteq IM_\kappa^w$ is therefore compact.

1.3. Holonomy perturbations. As the instanton moduli space we are studying consists of flat connections we cannot achieve transversality by perturbing the metric. A convenient choice of perturbation for this situation consists in holonomy perturbations, as used for instance in [2, 18, 20, 13]. This is also the approach we shall choose, and so we will follow Kronheimer’s exposition closely - here and in the section on $PU(2)$ monopoles.

Let B be a closed 4-ball in X . Suppose a submersion $q : S^1 \times B \rightarrow X$ which satisfies

$$q(1, -) = id_B$$

is given. Therefore each map $q_x : S^1 \rightarrow X$, defined by $q_x(z) = q(z, x)$, parametrises a path in X which is centered at x . Given a smooth connection $A \in \mathcal{A}$ the expression $\text{Hol}_{q_x}(A)$ denotes the holonomy of the connection A around the loop q_x . Therefore $\text{Hol}_{q_x}(A)$ is an element of the group $\text{Aut}(E)_x$. By letting variate $x \in B$ a unitary automorphism $\text{Hol}_q(A)$ of E over B is given, i.e. a section of the bundle $U(E)$ over B . The bundle $U(E)$ will be considered as a subbundle of the vector bundle $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$. Now let $\omega \in \Omega_*^2(X; \mathbb{C})$ be a complex-valued self-dual two-form with compact support inside the ball B . Multiplying it with the section $\text{Hol}(A)$ of $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$ and extending it by zero onto X a section

$$\omega \otimes \text{Hol}_q(A) \in \Omega_+^2(\mathfrak{gl}(E)) ,$$

is given. It defines, after applying the projection $\pi : \mathfrak{gl}(E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(E)$, a section

$$V_{q,\omega}(A) \in \Omega_+^2(\mathfrak{su}(E)) .$$

There is an extension of this map to our configuration space of connections of class L_t^2 which admits uniform bound on its derivatives, once a reference connection $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ is fixed.

Proposition 1.1. [13] *For fixed q and ω the map $V_{q,\omega}$ extends to a smooth map of Banach manifolds*

$$V_{q,\omega} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow L_l^2(X, \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) .$$

Furthermore there are uniform bounds on the derivatives of this map: There are constants K_n , depending only on q and A_0 , such that the n -th derivative

$$D^n V_{q,\omega}|_A L_l^2(X, \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E))^n \rightarrow L_l^2(X, \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E))$$

satisfies

$$\|D^n V_{q,\omega}|_A(a_1, \dots, a_n)_{L_{l,A_0}^2}\| \leq K_n \|\omega\|_{C^l} \prod_{i=1}^n \|a_i\|_{L_{l,A_0}^2} .$$

Eventually a collection of submersions $q_i : S^1 \times B_i \rightarrow X$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ as above will be chosen. Let $K_{n,i}$ be corresponding constants as guaranteed by the proposition above. Let C_i be a sequence of numbers such that

$$C_i \geq \sup\{K_{n,i} | 1 \leq n \leq i\} .$$

Assume that ω_i is a sequence of self-dual two-forms with each ω_i having support inside B_i , and that the series

$$\sum_i C_i \|\omega_i\|_{C^l}$$

is convergent. Topologise the space of maps from $\mathcal{A}$ to $L_l^2(X, \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E))$ with the C^m semi-norms on bounded subsets. It follows from the above proposition and the choice of the constants C_i that the series

$$\sum_i V_{q_i, \omega_i} \tag{5}$$

converges in the C^n -topology for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore the series defines a smooth map of Banach manifolds

$$V_\omega : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow L_l^2(X, \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) .$$

We define the perturbation space correspondingly:

Definition 1.2. *Fix the maps q_i , and the constants C_i as above. The space $\mathcal{W}$ is defined to be the Banach space consisting of all sequences $\omega = (\omega_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, with ω_i element of the Banach space $\Omega_+^2(B_i)$, such that the sum*

$$\sum_i C_i \|\omega_i\|_{C^l}$$

converges. We then define V_ω to be the series $\sum V_{q_i, \omega_i}$.

The dependence of V_ω on ω is linear, and the map $\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow L_l^2(X; \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E))$, $(\omega, A) \mapsto V_\omega(A)$ is a smooth map of Banach-manifolds.

Remark. *The Proposition 1.1 continues to hold in the completions with the L_k^p norms, for any $p \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$. By a diagonalisation argument the constants C_i in the preceeding definition may be chosen so that the convergence (5) occurs for all $p \geq 1$ and all $k \leq l$ at once, and we suppose that this were the case.*

Given $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ the perturbed anti-selfduality equation for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is now

$$F_A^+ + V_\omega(A) = 0 . \quad (6)$$

Correspondingly we define the moduli space perturbed by ω to be the space

$$M_\kappa^w(\omega) := \{[A] \in B_\kappa^w | F_A^+ + V_\omega(A) = 0\} .$$

There is an elliptic deformation complex associated to an instanton $[A]$ in the perturbed moduli space $M_\kappa^w(\omega)$ also:

$$0 \rightarrow L_{l+1}^2(X; \mathfrak{su}(E)) \xrightarrow{-d_A} L_l^2(X; \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \xrightarrow{d_{A,\omega}^+} L_{l-1}^2(X; \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \rightarrow 0 ,$$

where now $d_{A,\omega}^+ = d_A^+ + dV_\omega|_A$ is the derivative of the map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; \mathfrak{su}(E))$, $A \mapsto F_A^+ + V_\omega(A)$ at the instanton A . The deformation operator of the ω -perturbed equations is $\delta_{A,\omega} := -d_A^* \oplus d_{A,\omega}^+$.

Note that $d_{A,\omega}^+$ differs from d_A^+ only by the addition of a compact operator $L_l^2(X; \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E))$; the index of this elliptic complex is therefore the same than that of the unperturbed anti-selfduality equations above. The cohomology groups of this complex are denoted by H_A^0 , $H_{A,\omega}^1$ and $H_{A,\omega}^2$. Again, an instanton is called *regular* if the cohomology group $H_{A,\omega}^2$ vanishes. Likewise, local models for the moduli space $M(\omega)$ show that in a neighbourhood of a point $[A]$ which is both irreducible and regular the moduli space admits the structure of a smooth manifold of the expected dimension.

1.4. Compactness. The existence of an Uhlenbeck-type compactification of the perturbed moduli space $M_\kappa^w(\omega)$ was proved in [13]:

Proposition 1.3. *Let A_n be a sequence of connections in the Hermitian bundle $E \rightarrow X$ representing points $[A_n]$ in the moduli space $M_\kappa^w(\omega)$. Then there is a point $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Sym}^n(X)$, a connection A' in a bundle $E' \rightarrow X$ representing an element of a moduli space $M_{\kappa-n}^w(\omega)$ with the following property: After passing to a subsequence, there are bundle isomorphisms*

$$h_n : E|_{X \setminus \mathbf{x}} \rightarrow E'|_{X \setminus \mathbf{x}} ,$$

such that $(h_n)_(A_n)$ converges to A' in $L_1^p(K)$ for all compact subset $K \subseteq X \setminus \mathbf{x}$.*

Here $p \geq 2$ is chosen large enough for a certain bootstrapping argument to work. That we have a weaker notion of convergence than in [4, §4.4] is due to the fact that changes of the connection A have effect globally on the section $V_\omega(A)$.

An ideal instanton of instanton number κ is a pair $([A], \mathbf{x})$ where $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Sym}^n(X)$ and $[A]$ is an element of the perturbed moduli space $M_{\kappa-n}^w(\omega)$. The space of ω -perturbed ideal instantons of instanton number κ is defined to be

$$\text{IM}_\kappa^w(\omega) := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{\kappa-n}^w(\omega) \times \text{Sym}^n(X) ,$$

with the notion of convergence between the different strata as in Proposition 1.3, and with each stratum having its original topology. It follows from the above Proposition that the closure of the moduli space $M_\kappa^w(\omega)$ inside the space of ideal instantons $\text{IM}_\kappa^w(\omega)$ is compact.

1.5. Transversality. Under an additional condition on the set of submersions $q_i : S^1 \times B_i \rightarrow X$ transversality can be achieved for the moduli space. The condition is as follows:

Condition 1.4. *For any point $x \in X$ the set of loops*

$$\{q_i|_{S^1 \times \{x\}} | i \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \text{int}(B_i)\}$$

ought to be C^1 -dense in the space of smooth loops based at x .

Theorem 1.5. [13] *Suppose the submersions $q_i : S^1 \times B_i \rightarrow X$ satisfy the above condition. Then the smooth map of Banach manifolds*

$$\begin{aligned} g : \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{A}^* &\rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \\ (\omega, A) &\mapsto F_A^+ + V_\omega(A) \end{aligned}$$

is transverse to zero.

The key-point in the proof is that for an irreducible connection $A \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and point $x \in X$ the holonomy-sections $\text{Hol}_{q_i}(A)$, associated to submersions $q_i : S^1 \times B_i \rightarrow X$ such that x is contained in the interior of B_i , span $\mathfrak{gl}(E)_x$. Furthermore, after exhibiting a basis out of these sections, this basis continues to be a basis of $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$ in a neighbourhood of x . It is at this point that the inclusion $L_l^2 \hookrightarrow C^0$ is used.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{M} := g^{-1}(0)/\mathcal{G}$ the *parametrised moduli space*. Applying the Sard-Smale theorem to the projection $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ yields the following result in the standard way:

Corollary 1.6. *For a residual set of perturbations $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ the moduli space $M_\kappa^{w,*}(\omega)$ is regular for all $w \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and instanton numbers κ . It therefore admits the structure of a smooth manifold of the expected dimension d given by (4).*

As usually, a ‘residual’ subset of a complete metric space is a countable intersection of open and dense sets. By Baire’s theorem such a set is dense itself.

1.6. Orientations. As in Donaldson’s first applications of gauge theory to 4-manifold topology [3] the moduli space is given an orientation by a choice of orientation for a real determinant line bundle of a family of Fredholm operators.

The determinant line of a Fredholm operator $T : V \rightarrow W$ is given by $\det(\ker(T)) \otimes \det(\text{coker}(T))^*$, where $\det(F)$ denotes the maximal exterior power of a finite dimensional vector space F , and $\det(F)^*$ its dual. For a family of Fredholm operators $(T_c : V \rightarrow W)_{c \in C}$, parametrised continuously by a topological space C , there is a line bundle $\det(T)$ on the space C whose fibre over the point $c \in C$ is given by the line $\det(T_c)$, and whose topology is given as described in the next paragraph.

If T_{c_0} has trivial cokernel then the family of kernels $\ker(T_c)$ admits the structure of a vector bundle in a natural way over a neighbourhood of c_0 , so $\det(T)$ admits a natural topology when restricted to that neighbourhood. If T_{c_0} has non-trivial cokernel we pick a subspace $J \subseteq W$ that surjects onto the cokernel of T_{c_0} . The space J also surjects onto the cokernels of T_c for c out of a neighbourhood of c_0 , and there is a natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker T_c \rightarrow T_c^{-1}(J) \rightarrow J \rightarrow \text{coker } T_c \rightarrow 0 ,$$

where the third of the five maps involved is induced by T_c . It is an algebraic fact (see for instance [14, section 20.2] or [4]) that this implies the existence of a natural isomorphism

$$\det(\ker(T_c)) \otimes \det(\operatorname{coker}(T_c))^* \cong \det(T_c^{-1}(J)) \otimes \det(J)^* .$$

Now in a neighbourhood of c_0 the family $T_c^{-1}(J)$ forms naturally a vector bundle, and so there is a natural structure of real line bundle of the right hand member of this isomorphism, over this neighbourhood. It is possible to *define* the topology of $\det(T)$ on this neighbourhood by that of $\det(T_c^{-1}(J)) \otimes \det(J)^*$. Indeed, any choice $K \subseteq W$ with $J \subseteq K$ yields the same topology by the above construction. One can also see that there are then continuous transition functions on overlaps.

In our situation, the determinant line bundle formed by the family of Fredholm operators

$$\delta_{A,\omega} = -d_A^* \oplus d_{A,\omega}^+ : L_l^2(X; \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; \mathfrak{su}(E) \oplus \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E))$$

defined on the space of connections $\mathcal{A}$ is relevant for orientations. The restriction to $\mathcal{A}^*$ descends to the quotient $\mathcal{B}^*$. We denote by Λ_ω this line bundle. Its restriction to the regular part of the moduli space $M^*(\omega)$ is equal to its orientation line bundle. In fact, at these points, the cokernels of the deformation operator vanish and the kernels $H_{A,\omega}^1$ are precisely the tangent spaces to the moduli space $M^*(\omega)$. In particular, a regular moduli space $M^*(\omega)$ is orientable if Λ_ω is orientable, and a trivialisation of Λ_ω provides a preferred orientation for the moduli space $M^*(\omega)$.

Now the space of perturbations $\mathcal{W}$ is contractible, so the line bundles Λ_ω on $\mathcal{B}^*$ corresponding to different perturbations $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ are canonically isomorphic. It is a theorem of Donaldson's that the line bundle $\Lambda := \Lambda_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{*w}$ is indeed trivial, and an orientation is determined by a 'homology orientation' of X (that is, an orientation of the real vector space $\mathcal{H}^1(X; \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathcal{H}_+^2(X; \mathbb{R})$ of harmonic one-forms and self-dual two-forms), see [3, 4]. We denote by the letter o a choice of trivialisation of the line bundle Λ .

1.7. Moduli spaces over negative definite four-manifolds. We restrict now our attention to smooth, closed Riemannian four-manifolds X with $b_2^+(X) = 0$ and $b_2(X) \geq 1$. According to the theorem of Donaldson's [2] the intersection form of such a four-manifold is equivalent to the diagonal one. Kim Frøyshov pointed out to the author that there is a generalisation of the condition in [21, Section 4.2.1] to assure the absence of reducibles in the moduli space:

Lemma 1.7. *Suppose $E \rightarrow X$ is a Hermitian bundle of rank 2 such that the square of its first Chern class $\langle c_1(E)^2, [X] \rangle$ is divisible by 4, such that the second Chern class satisfies*

$$\langle c_2(E), [X] \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle c_1(E)^2, [X] \rangle - k ,$$

for some integer $k \geq 0$, and such that in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Torsion}$ the class $c_1(E)$ is not divisible by 2. Then E does not admit a topological decomposition $E = L \oplus K$ into the sum of two complex line bundles L and K .

Proof: Suppose we have a decomposition into line bundles $E = L \oplus K$. Let $z_1 := c_1(L)$ and $z_2 := c_1(K)$ be the first Chern-classes of the line bundles. Notice

that by the assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (z_1 - z_2)^2, [X] \rangle &= \langle (z_1 + z_2)^2 - 4 z_1 z_2, [X] \rangle \\ &= \langle c_1(E)^2 - 4 c_2(E), [X] \rangle \\ &= 4 k . \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

If $k = 0$ this shows that $z_1 - z_2$ is a torsion class. But $c_1(E) = z_1 + z_2$, contradicting the non-divisibility condition. If $k > 0$ this gives right off a contradiction to the fact that X is negative definite. $\square$

Corollary 1.8. *Let $E \rightarrow X$ be as in the previous lemma. Then the associated moduli space M_0^w does not admit reducibles. The same holds for the perturbed moduli spaces $M_0^w(\omega)$.*

Observation. *A bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with the characteristic classes as in the proceeding lemma exists if and only if $b_2(X) \geq 4$.*

For a connection $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{su}(E))$ Chern-Weil theory gives the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} (\|F_A^-\|_{L^2(X)}^2 - \|F_A^+\|_{L^2(X)}^2) &= -\frac{1}{4} \langle p_1(\mathfrak{su}(E)), [X] \rangle \\ &= \langle c_2(E) - \frac{1}{4} c_1(E)^2, [X] \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In particular, for anti-selfdual connections the left hand side of this equation is always positive.

Proposition 1.9. *Suppose the negative definite four-manifold X has first Betti-number $b_1(X) = 1$ and admits a class $w \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\langle w^2, [X] \rangle$ is divisible by four, and such that (modulo torsion) w is not divisible by 2. Then the moduli space M_0^w , associated to the bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with $c_1(E) = w$ and $\langle c_2(E), [X] \rangle = 1/4 \langle w^2, [X] \rangle$, is compact, does not contain reducibles, consists of flat connections in $\mathfrak{su}(E)$, and is of expected dimension zero.*

Proof: Equation (8) implies that all the lower strata of the Uhlenbeck-compactification of M_0^w are empty, so M_0^w must already be compact. The remaining claims follow from the above lemma and the dimension-formula (4). $\square$

Remark. *The ‘Casson-type’ instanton moduli spaces appearing in [21] are as in Proposition 1.9, but associated to elements $w = \sum e_i$, where the $\{e_i\}$ yield a basis of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Torsion}$ diagonalising the intersection form. This requires then $b_2(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

1.8. Definition of the invariant. We shall stay in the situation of the proceeding section, and in particular in that of Proposition 1.9. If the compact moduli space M_0^w were regular (and zero-dimensional) we would define an integer by a signed count of its finite number of elements (each regular point is isolated). In general, we will have to consider perturbations of this moduli space:

Proposition 1.10. *Suppose the C^0 -norm of the perturbation $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ is sufficiently small. Then the moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$ is compact. If further ω is chosen among a residual subset of $\mathcal{W}$ such that the conclusion of Corollary 1.6 holds, then $M_0^w(\omega)$ is a compact zero-dimensional manifold.*

Proof: The claim on compactness is an easy consequence of the Chern-Weil formula (8) and the structure of the compactification of the moduli space, Proposition 1.3. $\square$

Definition 1.11. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ be a perturbation such that we are in the situation of the preceding Proposition. Suppose an orientation o of the determinant line bundle $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$ is chosen, and, therefore an orientation for the moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$, according to the preceding subsection. Then we define the number $n_o(\omega)$ as the signed count of the moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$,

$$n_{w,o}(\omega) := \#M_0^w(\omega) .$$

Here an instanton $[A] \in M_0^w(\omega)$ is counted with $+1$ if the orientation of the determinant line $\det(\delta_{A,\omega})$ at $[A]$ determined by o coincides with the preferred orientation

$$\det(\delta_{A,\omega}) = \det(\ker(\delta_{A,\omega})) \otimes \det(\operatorname{coker}(\delta_{A,\omega}))^* = \mathbb{R}$$

determined by the trivial kernel and cokernel of $\delta_{A,\omega}$ at the irreducible and regular point $[A]$, and with -1 in the opposite case.

It is worth to notice (see for instance the Appendix A of [19]) that the relative sign between two instantons $[A_0]$ and $[A_1]$ can be computed from the number of crossings $\mu = \sum_t \dim(\ker(\delta_{A_t,\omega}))$ of a generic path $t \mapsto A_t$. It is $(-1)^\mu$.

The definition of the perturbation space $\mathcal{W}$ depends on the choice of a Riemannian metric g on X . In the following proposition we shall write $\mathcal{W}_g$ to signify this dependence. Furthermore, the Riemannian metric also undergoes in the definition of the moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$ via the perturbed anti-selfduality equations, depending on the Riemannian metric. To express this we shall write $n_o(\omega, g)$ for the number defined above.

Proposition 1.12. Let g, g' be Riemannian metrics on X and suppose perturbations $\omega \in \mathcal{W}_g$, $\omega' \in \mathcal{W}_{g'}$ are chosen such that the conclusion of Proposition 1.10 holds in each case. Then we have

$$n_{w,o}(\omega, g) = n_{w,o}(\omega', g') .$$

Remark. This number is therefore independent of the underlying Riemannian metric on X and the chosen perturbation. It only depends on the topology of the smooth manifold X and the cohomology class w (determining the bundle $E \rightarrow X$ which defines the moduli space) and a choice of orientation o . It is therefore convenient to denote this number by $n_{w,o}(X)$.

The proof follows from the standard cobordism argument in such kind of situations. See for instance [13, page 23] or [25, section 7.1].

Proposition 1.13. Let $c \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ be an element in the second cohomology group. Suppose o is a choice of trialisation of the bundle $\Lambda_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0^{w,*}$, and suppose o' is a choice of trivialisation of the bundle $\Lambda'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0^{w+2c,*}$. Then we have

$$n_{w,o}(X) = \pm n_{w+2c,o'}(X) .$$

Proof: Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a Hermitian line bundle with c as its first Chern class. Let a_0 be a Hermitian connection in L . The map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_a(E) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{a \otimes a_0^2}(E \otimes L) \\ A &\mapsto A \otimes a_0 \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of affine spaces. It descends to a homeomorphism $\mathcal{B}_\kappa^w \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\kappa^{w+2c}$ and induces a homeomorphism of moduli spaces $M_\kappa^{w,*}(\omega) \cong M_\kappa^{w+2c,*}(\omega)$. This is either orientation-preserving or orientation-reversing. In our case where the index of the deformation operator is zero this is easily seen by the interpretation of the relative orientations in terms of crossing numbers. $\square$

1.9. Moduli spaces with cut off perturbations. For reasons that will become apparent later we define the following modification of the moduli spaces of perturbed instantons.

Let $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth real-valued function defined on the half-line of non-negative real numbers with the following properties:

- (1) $h(t) = 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$,
- (2) $h(t) = 1/t$ for $t \geq 2$.

Let $m > 2$. The equation we shall now consider for $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is the following:

$$F_A^+ + h(\|F_A\|_{L^m(X)}) V_\omega(A) = 0. \quad (9)$$

Correspondingly, we define the moduli space perturbed by ω to be the space

$$M_\kappa^w(\omega, h, m) := \{[A] \in B_\kappa^w | F_A^+ + h(\|F_A\|_{L^m(X)}) V_\omega(A) = 0\}. \quad (10)$$

Our previous discussion on compactification of this moduli space has one significant difference. Namely, in the lower strata the perturbation term vanishes as a consequence of the following Lemma:

Lemma 1.14. *Suppose the sequence of measures $|F_{A_n}|^2 \text{vol}_g$ converges as measure to a measure ν on X . Suppose the point $x \in X$ is such that it is not contained in a geodesic ball of ν -measure less than ε^2 , for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for any $m > 2$ the sequence of norms $\|F_{A_n}\|_{L^m(X)}$ tends to infinity.*

Proof: Suppose this were not the case. Then there would be a constant $N > 0$ and a subsequence (n_k) of (n) such that

$$\|F_{A_{n_k}}\|_{L^m(X)} \leq N$$

held for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $B(x, r)$ be the geodesic ball around x of radius $r > 0$. By the Hölder inequality we get

$$\|F_{A_{n_k}}\|_{L^2(B(x,r))}^2 \leq \text{vol}_g(B(x,r))^{\frac{m-2}{m}} \|F_{A_{n_k}}\|_{L^m(B(x,r))}^2.$$

Clearly, the expression on the left-hand side converges to the ν measure of the ball $B(x, r)$. On the other hand, by our assumption on the L^p boundedness, the expression on the right-hand side tends to zero as the radius r tends to zero. But this contradicts the hypothesis on the point x . $\square$

There are therefore obvious modifications of Proposition 1.3 and Corollary 1.6. However, compactness of the Casson-type moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$ follows from the

Chern-Weil formula as long as the L^∞ norm of the perturbation ω is small enough, and therefore the results of section 1.7 continue to hold for the moduli space $M_0^w(\omega, h, m)$. Correspondingly, there is an invariant $n_{w,o}(\omega, h, m)$, and it follows from a standard cobordism argument again that

$$n_{w,o}(\omega, h, m) = n_{w,o}(\omega) .$$

2. MODULI SPACES OF $PU(2)$ SEIBERG-WITTEN MONOPOLES

Here we shall recall the $PU(2)$ -monopole equations and their moduli space associated to the data of a $Spin^c$ -structure $\mathfrak{s}$ and a Hermitian bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of rank 2 on a Riemannian four-manifold X . We shall define the configuration space and the moduli space and recall how to get a uniform bound on the spinor component of a solution to the monopole equations. We then show how the equations are perturbed, sketch the Uhlenbeck-compactification for the perturbed moduli space and show how to obtain transversality. Furthermore, we shall show how to define a preferred orientation on the irreducible part of the moduli space. At least in slightly different situations these results are already well-known [8], [22].

2.1. The configuration space. Let X be a closed oriented Riemannian four-manifold with a $Spin^c$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$ on it. The $Spin^c$ structure consists of two Hermitian rank 2 vector bundles $S_\mathfrak{s}^\pm$ with identified determinant line bundles and a Clifford multiplication

$$\gamma : \Lambda^1(T^*X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(S_\mathfrak{s}^+, S_\mathfrak{s}^-) .$$

Let's furthermore suppose we are given a Hermitian vector bundle E with determinant line bundle $w = \det(E)$ on X . We can then form the 'twisted' spinor bundles

$$W_{\mathfrak{s},E}^\pm := S_\mathfrak{s}^\pm \otimes E .$$

Clifford multiplication extends by tensoring with the identity on E .

We continue to denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the space of connections in E inducing a fixed connection in the determinant line w of class Sobolev class L^2_l . We define our pre-configuration space to be the product

$$\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{A} \times L^2_l(X; S^+ \otimes E) .$$

According to our above notation convention we shall denote $\mathcal{C}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{s}}^w$ if we want to emphasise that this configuration space is associated to the topological data consisting of a $Spin^c$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$ and a bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with instanton number κ as in the formula (1) and determinant line bundle $w := \det(E)$.

The gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ from above acts naturally on $\mathcal{C}$ by the action on $\mathcal{A}$ as above and by $(u, \Psi) \mapsto (\text{id} \otimes u)(\Psi)$ on the spinor section. The quotient

$$\mathcal{B}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{s}}^w := \mathcal{C}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{s}}^w / \mathcal{G} .$$

is called the configuration space of $PU(2)$ monopoles. By $\Gamma_{(A,\Psi)} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ we shall denote the stabiliser of the pair (A, Ψ) under the gauge group action. We shall define by $\mathcal{C}^*$ and by $\mathcal{B}_\mathfrak{s}^*$ the spaces corresponding to *trivial* stabiliser. Furthermore, we shall define by $\mathcal{C}^{**}$ the subspace of pairs (A, Ψ) with A irreducible, $\Gamma_A = \mathbb{Z}/2$, and with $\Psi \neq 0$. Certainly $\mathcal{C}^{**} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^*$ but the converse is not true.

2.2. A quadratic map on the spinor component. The bundle $S^+ \otimes E$ is modelled on $\mathbb{C}_+^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$. We define the orthogonal projections

$$P : \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{C}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{C}^2)$$

as being the tensor product of the two orthogonal projections $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{C}^2)$. We then define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : \mathbb{C}_+^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}_+^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}_2 &\rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{C}^2) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(\mathbb{C}^2) \\ (\Psi, \Phi) &\mapsto P(\Psi\Phi^*) , \end{aligned}$$

where $(\Psi\Phi^*) \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{C}_+^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ is defined to be the endomorphism $\Xi \mapsto \Psi(\Phi, \Xi)$, where (Φ, Ξ) stands for the (standard) inner product of the two elements. Instead of $\mu(\Psi, \Psi)$ we shall just write $\mu(\Psi)$, where then μ is a quadratic map. Both the bilinear and the quadratic map are equivariant with respect to the structure groups of S^+ and E and so defines well-defined maps

$$\mu : S^+ \otimes E \oplus S^+ \otimes E \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(S^+) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(E) ,$$

respectively

$$\mu : S^+ \otimes E \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(S^+) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{su}(E) .$$

Note that on the level of bundles this map is also equivariant with respect to the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$.

2.3. The Dirac operator, moduli spaces of $PU(2)$ monopoles. We suppose B is a fixed $Spin^c(4)$ connection on $S^+ \oplus S^-$ with respect to some $SO(4)$ connection on the tangent bundle TX , not necessarily equal to the Levi-Civita connection. The reason for this somewhat unusual choice will become apparent later when we are concerned with regularity in lower strata of the Uhlenbeck compactification.

Composing the Clifford multiplication with the tensor product connection $\nabla_B \otimes \nabla_A$ yields the ‘twisted’ Dirac operator

$$\mathcal{D}_A^+ := \gamma \circ (\nabla_B \otimes \nabla_A) : L_l^2(X; S^+ \otimes E) \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E) .$$

As the particular choice of $Spin^c$ connection will be kept fixed in what follows it is suppressed from notation.

Let furthermore $\beta \in \Omega^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ be a complex-valued 1-form, and let K be a general linear orientation-preserving automorphism of the real rank-3 bundle $\mathfrak{su}(S_+^+)$.

The $PU(2)$ monopole equations we consider, associated to a pair $(A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{C}$, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_A^+ \Psi + \gamma(\beta)\Psi &= 0 \\ \gamma(F_A^+) - K(\mu(\Psi)) &= 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Solutions of this equations will also be called ‘monopoles’.

The left-hand side of these equations can be considered¹ as a map $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E \oplus \Lambda_+^2(X) \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E))$. As such, it is equivariant with respect to the action of the gauge group. The moduli space of $PU(2)$ monopoles is defined to be the space

$$M_{\kappa, s}^w := \{[A, \Psi] \in \mathcal{B}_{\kappa, s}^w \mid (11) \text{ holds}\} / \mathcal{G}. \tag{12}$$

¹Here and later we will feel free to use the isomorphism $\gamma : \Lambda_+^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(S^+)$ without making it explicit in the notation

Again, there is an elliptic deformation complex associated to a monopole (A, Ψ) :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow L_{l+1}^2(X; \mathfrak{su}(E)) &\xrightarrow{d_{(A, \Psi)}^0} L_l^2(X; S^+ \otimes E \oplus \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \\ &\xrightarrow{d_{(A, \Psi)}^1} L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E \oplus \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \rightarrow 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Here $d_{(A, \Psi)}^0$ is the derivative of the gauge-group action $u \mapsto u(A, \Psi)$ at the identity, and $d_{(A, \Psi)}^1 = dg|_{(A, \Psi)}$, the derivative of the monopole map g at a solution (A, Ψ) . As in instanton theory, the kernel of the operator $d_{(A, \Psi)}^{0,*}$ provides a slice of the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ in a neighbourhood of the orbit through (A, Ψ) . Again, a configuration (A, Ψ) is called irreducible if the zeroth cohomology space of the above complex vanishes, $H_{(A, \Psi)}^0 = 0$, and regular if $H_{(A, \Psi)}^2 = 0$.

The deformation operator

$$D_{(A, \Psi)} = d_{(A, \Psi)}^{0,*} \oplus d_{(A, \Psi)}^1$$

is elliptic. Its kernel is given by the cohomology space $H_{(A, \Psi)}^1$ of the above complex and its cokernel is given by the sum of cohomology spaces $H_{(A, \Psi)}^0 \oplus H_{(A, \Psi)}^2$. If this cokernel vanishes then the slice theorem together with implicit function theorems show that the moduli space has the structure of a smooth manifold in a neighbourhood of $[A, \Psi]$, of dimension given by the index of the elliptic operator.

The deformation operator is homotopic to the operator $D_{(A, 0)}$ which has the simple form

$$D_{(A, 0)} = -d_A^* \oplus d_A^+ \oplus \not{D}_A^+,$$

as a map $L_l^2(X; \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E) \oplus S^+ \otimes E) \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; (\Lambda^0 \oplus \Lambda_+^2) \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E) \oplus S^- \otimes E)$. It is therefore equal to the sum of the Instanton deformation operator $\delta_A = -d_A^* \oplus d_A^+$ and the Dirac operator $\not{D}_A^+$. The expected dimension of the moduli space $M_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w$ is therefore given by the index of this elliptic operator,

$$\text{ex-dim}(M_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w) = \text{ind}(\delta_A) \oplus 2 \text{ind}_{\mathbb{C}}(\not{D}_A^+),$$

where the index of δ_A has been in equation (4) above and the index of the Dirac operator will be computed in the next section.

We terminate the discussion here by sketching the Uhlenbeck compactification for $PU(2)$ monopoles, for details see [6, 22]. The important fact is that the quadratic map $K \circ \mu$ satisfies a pointwise properness condition,

$$(K(\mu(\Psi))\Psi, \Psi) \geq c^2 |\Psi|^4$$

for a real constant $c > 0$. This then yields, via the Weitzenböck formula for the Dirac operator D_A^+ , to an ‘a-priori bound’ for the spinor Ψ of a monopole $[A, \Psi] \in M_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w$, see for instance [23]:

$$\|\Psi\|_{\infty}^2 \leq M/c^2 . \quad (13)$$

The positive constant M only depends on fixed geometric data - the Riemannian metric, the fixed $Spin^c$ connection B , and the fixed automorphism K . This C^0 bound implies that there is an Uhlenbeck compactification of the moduli space $M_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w$ by a space of ‘ideal monopoles’,

$$IM_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{\kappa-n, \mathfrak{s}}^w \times \text{Sym}^n(X) ,$$

which is given a compact topology as in the instanton situation, and where each stratum has its previously defined topology. The closure $\overline{M}_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w \subseteq IM_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w$ is compact.

2.4. Holonomy perturbations. If we wish to obtain transversality for moduli spaces of $PU(2)$ monopoles we have to perturb the Dirac equation in the $PU(2)$ monopole equation, too. This is in contrast to the classical abelian Seiberg-Witten theory. There are different approaches in this situation [8, 22], some using holonomy perturbations, some not. We wish to adopt the holonomy perturbations of the previous section to our situation. This is not straight-forward with the Dirac equation vis-à-vis the Uhlenbeck-compactification. In fact, we employ an artificial cut off argument in order to keep a C^0 bound on the spinor component. However, we don't see how to control this cut-off term for a sequence of monopoles that tends to infinity. Even though the cut-off term converges for some subsequence, we cannot apply the same argument to get a C^0 bound on lower strata of the compactification. This is why we choose an additional cut-off term to make the holonomy perturbation vanish for a sequence of monopoles converging to infinity.

To a smooth connection $A \in \mathcal{A}$, a submersion $q : S^1 \times B \rightarrow X$ as in section 1.3 and a complex-valued one-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ with support in B we can associate a section

$$V_{q, \alpha}(A) := \alpha \otimes \text{Hol}_q(A) \in \Omega^1(X; \mathfrak{gl}(E)) .$$

There is then a result completely analogue to that of Proposition 1.1, the discussion following it, and the definition of the perturbation space $\mathcal{W}$:

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{V}$ be defined to be the Banach space of sequences $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, with each α_i an element of the Banach space $\Omega^1(B_i; \mathbb{C})$, such that the sum*

$$\sum_i C_i \|\alpha_i\|_{C^l(B_i)}$$

is finite. Here the constants C_i are defined as in section 1.3. The map V_α is defined to be the series $\sum V_{q_i, \alpha_i}$ which converges in the C^∞ - topology of maps $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow L_l^2(X; \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(E))$.

The dependence of V_α on α is linear and we obtain a smooth map of Banach manifolds

$$V : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow L_l^2(X; \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(E)) ,$$

given by $(\alpha, A) \mapsto V_\alpha(A)$. This map is also equivariant with respect to the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$.

The perturbed $PU(2)$ monopole equations associated to the $Spin^c$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$ and the Hermitian bundle $E \rightarrow X$, specified by the instanton number κ and the line bundle w , and the perturbations $(\omega, \alpha) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ are then given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D}_A^+ \Psi + \gamma(\beta)\Psi &= -h(\|F_A\|_{L^m(X)})h(\|V_\alpha(A)\|_{L_{1,A}^\infty})\gamma(V_\alpha(A))\Psi \\ \gamma(F_A^+ - K(\mu(\Psi))) &= -h(\|F_A\|_{L^m(X)})\gamma(V_\omega(A)) , \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where again $m > 2$ like in Section 1.9. The cut-off in the Dirac equation assures that the holonomy perturbation term $h(\|V_\alpha(A)\|_{L_{1,A}^\infty})\gamma(V_\alpha(A))$ has uniformly bounded covariant derivative with respect to A . This yields a C^0 bound like in the classical case, using the Weitzenböck formula and the maximum argument. For instance,

the holonomy perturbations of Feehan-Leness naturally admit such bounds, and the proof of the C^0 bound in our situation is entirely analogous to theirs [6, Lemma 4.4 and formula 2.23]. Note also that the cut-off factors are gauge-invariant.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose (A, Ψ) is a solution to the perturbed monopole equations (14). Then there is a positive constant M' , depending only on the Riemannian metric, the fixed Spin^c connection B , the parameters β and K and the perturbation (ω, α) , such that there is a bound*

$$\|\Psi\|_\infty \leq \frac{M'}{c^2} . \quad (15)$$

The moduli space of $PU(2)$ monopoles perturbed by $(\omega, \alpha) \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V}$ is defined to be the space

$$M_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w(\omega, \alpha) := \{[A, \Psi] \in \mathcal{B}_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w \mid (14) \text{ holds} \} .$$

Again, there is an elliptic deformation complex analogue to the one in section 2.3. Let (A, Ψ) be a solution to the perturbed monopole equations (14). Then we have the elliptic complex

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow L_{l+1}^2(X; \mathfrak{su}(E)) &\xrightarrow{d_{(A, \Psi)}^0} L_l^2(X; S^+ \otimes E \oplus \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \\ &\xrightarrow{d_{(A, \Psi), (\omega, \alpha)}^1} L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E \oplus \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \rightarrow 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The deformation operator is defined to be

$$D_{(A, \Psi), (\omega, \alpha)} := d_{(A, \Psi)}^{0,*} \oplus d_{(A, \Psi), (\omega, \alpha)}^1 . \quad (17)$$

Note also that this deformation operator for the perturbed monopole equations and that for the unperturbed monopole equations only differ by addition of compact operators. In particular, their index is equal. As usually, the cohomology spaces of the above complex are denoted by $H_{(A, \Psi)}^0$, $H_{(A, \Psi), (\omega, \alpha)}^1$ and $H_{(A, \Psi), (\omega, \alpha)}^2$.

2.5. Compactification. As in other considerations on $PU(2)$ monopoles there is a natural Uhlenbeck-type compactification of the moduli space. As our holonomy perturbations are different from the ones used by Feehan-Leness [6], and as we also chose a very specific cut off behaviour of our holonomy perturbations towards infinity, there are a few essential modifications to be made here for obtaining the Uhlenbeck-compactification. We shall only give a sketch here by pointing out the essential differences to the arguments in [6] and [21]. All these approaches follow the ideas of [4, Section 4.4].

Proposition 2.3. *Let (A_n, Ψ_n) be a sequence of configurations, where A_n are connections in the Hermitian bundle $E \rightarrow X$ and Ψ_n are sections of the bundle $S^+ \otimes E$, representing points $[A_n, \Psi_n]$ in the moduli space $M_{\mathfrak{s}, \kappa}^w(\omega, \alpha)$. Then one of the following is true:*

- (1) *After passing to a subsequence the sequence $[A_n, \Psi_n]$ converges in the L_l^2 topology of the moduli space $M_{\mathfrak{s}, \kappa}^w(\omega, \alpha)$.*
- (2) *There is a point $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Sym}^n(X)$, a connection A' in a bundle $E' \rightarrow X$ and a section Ψ' of the bundle $S^+ \otimes E'$, representing an element $[A', \Psi']$ of the*

moduli space $M_{\mathbf{s}, \kappa-n}^w(0, 0)$ with the following property: After passing to a subsequence, there are bundle isomorphisms

$$h_n : E|_{X \setminus \mathbf{x}} \rightarrow E'|_{X \setminus \mathbf{x}} ,$$

such that $(h_n)_*(A_n, \Psi_n)$ converges to (A', Ψ') in $L_1^p(K)$ for all compact subset $K \subseteq X \setminus \mathbf{x}$.

An ideal monopole of instanton number κ is a pair $([A, \Psi], \mathbf{x})$ where $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Sym}^n(X)$, and $[A, \Psi]$ is an element of the unperturbed moduli space $M_{\mathbf{s}, \kappa-n}^w(0, 0) = M_{\mathbf{s}, \kappa-n}^w$, if $n \geq 1$, and of the moduli space $M_{\mathbf{s}, \kappa}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ if $n = 0$. The space of (ω, α) -perturbed ideal monopoles of instanton number κ is defined to be

$$\text{IM}_{\mathbf{s}, \kappa}^w(\omega, \alpha) := M_{\mathbf{s}, \kappa}^w(\omega, \alpha) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{\mathbf{s}, \kappa-n}^w \times \text{Sym}^n(X) ,$$

with the notion of convergence between the different strata as in Proposition 2.3 (and the corresponding generalisation for sequences of ideal monopoles), and with each stratum having its original topology. It also follows from such kind of generalisation of this Proposition that the closure of the moduli space $M_{\mathbf{s}, \kappa}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ inside the space of ideal instantons $\text{IM}_{\mathbf{s}, \kappa}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ is compact.

In the following subsections we give a sketch of proof of this compactness result. We suppose that there is a sequence of configurations (A_n, Ψ_n) representing points in the moduli space $M_{\mathbf{s}, \kappa}^w(\omega, \alpha)$.

2.5.1. Convergence of measures. By the a priori bound 13 the sequence Ψ_n is bounded in L^∞ . By the second of the monopole equations we therefore see that the self-dual part of the curvatures $F_{A_n}^+$ is bounded in L^∞ . By the Chern-Weil formula there is therefore an L^2 bound on the sequence of curvatures F_{A_n} , and, in particular, the sequence of measures $|F_{A_n}|^2 \text{vol}_g$ is a bounded sequence of measures, considered as a sequence in the dual of $C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$. The Banach-Alaouglu theorem claims that in the dual of a Banach-space the unit-ball is compact with respect to the weak-* topology, and this precisely the topology of the ‘convergence of measures’. Therefore there is a subsequence, without loss of generality equal to the sequence itself, that converges to a measure ν on X . There are only finitely many points $x \in X$ which do not lie in a geodesic ball of ν -measure less than ε^2 , where ε will be the constant appearing in gauge fixing Uhlenbeck’s theorem. In fact, there will be at most $\nu(X)/\varepsilon^2$ many. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be the unordered collection of points where this occurs.

Remark. If $\mathbf{x}$ is not the empty set we will have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\|F_{A_n}\|_{L^m(X)}) = 0$$

by Lemma 1.14, and therefore the holonomy perturbation terms in the perturbed monopole equations (14) tend to zero.

2.5.2. Compactness on the punctured manifold. We shall suppose now that $\mathbf{x}$ is not empty. We begin by recalling Uhlenbeck’s gauge fixing theorem [26, Theorem 1.3] for connections with sufficiently small curvature on the unit 4-ball with its standard metric.

Lemma 2.4. *There are constants $\varepsilon, M > 0$ such that the following holds: Any connection A of class L_l^2 on the trivial Hermitian rank-2 bundle over the closed unit ball $\overline{B}^4$ with $\|F_A\|_{L^2} < \varepsilon$ is gauge equivalent, by an element u of class L_{l+1}^2 , to a connection $u(A) = d + \tilde{A}$ such that the connection matrix $\tilde{A}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} d^* \tilde{A} &= 0 \quad , \\ \|\tilde{A}\|_{L_1^p} &\leq M \|F_A\|_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

for any $p \geq 2$.

This is not precisely the formulation of the original source but it is rather standard to obtain the present formulation. Note also that by choosing $l \geq 3$ there is an inclusion $L_l^2 \hookrightarrow L_1^p$ for any p .

Remark. *The constants $\varepsilon, M > 0$ in the preceding Lemma can be chosen so that the conclusion holds for any metric on the unit ball that is sufficiently close to the standard metric. We suppose from now on that such an ε is fixed.*

On the open manifold $\Omega := X \setminus \mathbf{x}$ any point $x \in \Omega$ admits a geodesic ball B_x centered at x such that

$$\int_{B_x} |F_{A_n}|^2 \text{vol} < \varepsilon^2 \quad (18)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Provided the constant ε above is chosen small enough any point $x \in \Omega$ admits a geodesic ball neighbourhood B'_x centered at x such that the following holds:*

- (1) *There is a sequence of gauge transformations $u_{n,x}$ of class L_{l+1}^2 over B'_x such that the connections $u_{n,x}(A_n)$ are in Coulomb gauge with respect to some trivial connection over the ball B'_x ,*
- (2) *the sequence of connections $u_{n,x}(A_n)$ is bounded in L_1^p for any $p \geq 2$ by some constant that depends on B'_x only, and*
- (3) *on the overlaps of the geodesic balls the sequence of gauge transformations $u_{n,x} u_{n,y}^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded in L_2^p for any $p \geq 2$.*

Proof: After restricting to a possibly smaller ball B'_x and rescaling the metric we may suppose that the metric is sufficiently close to the standard metric on the unit ball, and we may apply Uhlenbeck's gauge fixing theorem, Lemma 2.4, as indicated above.

Therefore there are gauge transformations $u_{n,x}$ such that the connection matrices $\tilde{A}_n$ of $u_{n,x}(A_n)$ with respect to some trivial connection are in Coulomb gauge, and there is a bound

$$\|\tilde{A}_n\|_{L_1^2} \leq M \|F_{A_n}\|_{L^2} . \quad (19)$$

As the right hand side is uniformly bounded the sequence $\tilde{A}_n$ is uniformly bounded in L_1^2 norm.

Over the ball B'_x that we have identified with the standard 4-ball B^4 the second of the perturbed monopole equations may be rewritten, with slight abuse of notation, in the form

$$d^+ \tilde{A}_n = -(\tilde{A}_n \wedge \tilde{A}_n)^+ + u_{n,x} \gamma^{-1}(K(\mu(\Psi_n))) u_{n,x}^{-1} - u_{n,x} h(A_n) V_\omega(A_n) u_{n,x}^{-1} . \quad (20)$$

By the a priori bound (15) and the construction of the holonomy perturbations, the second and third term of the right hand side of this equation are bounded in L^∞ .

Now suppose we have $2 \leq p < 4$. Then we have bounded inclusions $L_1^p \hookrightarrow L^q$ with $q = \frac{4p}{4-p}$ as well as a bounded multiplication map $L^4 \times L^q \rightarrow L^p$. We wish to apply elliptic regularity, so we transport the argument from the ball B^4 to the 4-sphere. Let $D \Subset B^4$ be an interior domain, and let $\psi : B^4 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth cut-off function that is equal to 1 on D and that has compact support in the interior of B^4 . Let $\alpha_n := \psi \tilde{A}_n$, that we may consider as a sequence of one-forms on the 4-sphere, containing B^4 . Let us denote by χ_n the sequence given by the second and third term of (20). The one-forms α_n now satisfy the equation:

$$(d^+ \oplus d^*)\alpha_n = -(\alpha_n \wedge \tilde{A}_n)^+ + \psi\chi_n, 0 + ((d\psi \wedge \tilde{A}_n)^+, *(d\psi \wedge *\tilde{A}_n))$$

Therefore we get the inequality by elliptic regularity for the operator $d^+ \oplus d^*$ and the above mentioned bounded multiplication and inclusion maps

$$\|\alpha_n\|_{L_1^p(S^4)} \leq c \left(\|\alpha_n\|_{L_1^p(S^4)} \|\tilde{A}_n\|_{L^4} + \|\chi_n\|_{L^p} + \|d\psi\|_{L_1^p} \|\tilde{A}_n\|_{L^4} \right)$$

for some positive constant c . Now using the estimate (19) provided by Uhlenbeck's theorem we see that we can rearrange this inequality provided we choose ε in the bound (18) small enough, so that obtain a uniform bound on α_n in $L_1^p(S^4)$ norm for $2 \leq p < 4$. The desired uniform bound in $L_1^p(D)$ for $\tilde{A}_n$ now follows from

$$\|\tilde{A}_n\|_{L_1^p(D)} \leq \|\alpha_n\|_{L_1^p(S^4)}.$$

We shall now extend the bound to arbitrary $p \geq 2$. In an intermediate step suppose $p \geq 3$. Then we certainly have $q > 8$. We obtain an inequality

$$\|\tilde{A}_n \wedge \tilde{A}_n\|_{L^{q/2}} \leq \|\tilde{A}_n\|_{L^q}^2 \leq c_p \|\tilde{A}_n\|_{L_1^p}^2$$

for some positive constant c_p . Now using the uniform L_1^p bound on $\tilde{A}_n$ and repeating the above sequence of arguments we obtain (on a possibly smaller interior domain) a uniform bound on $\tilde{A}_n$ in $L_1^{q/2}$ norms. Note, however, that for $r > 4$ there is a bounded inclusion $L_1^r \hookrightarrow C^0 \subseteq L^\infty$, and as $q/2 > 4$ we are in this range now. Therefore we obtain bounds in arbitrary L_1^p norms for arbitrary high $p \geq 2$ if we apply elliptic regularity and the equality (20) a third time.

The conclusion (3) in the Lemma follows along the lines of ‘connections control gauge transformations’ as in [4, Section 2.3.7].

□

Next we shall ‘patch gauge transformations’ to globalise results that hold on a countable union of balls B_{x_i} to Ω . The patching procedure of gauge transformations is possible precisely because of (3) in the preceding Lemma, and the fact that for $p > 2$ there is a compact inclusion $L_2^p \hookrightarrow C^0$, which allows to pass to uniformly convergent subsequences from L_2^p bounded sequences, and, therefore, we may make use of the exponential map for the gauge group as in [4, Section 4.4.2], [11, Lemma

A.0.1] and [26, Section 3] to globalise the gauge transformations. This yields the following

Proposition 2.6. *In the situation above there is a subsequence (n_k) and there are gauge transformations g_{n_k} on the punctured manifold such that the sequence $g_{n_k}(A_{n_k})$ is bounded in $L_1^p(K)$ for any compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$ and any $p \geq 2$.*

Again, we shall assume that the subsequence is equal to the original sequence.

The construction of the holonomy perturbations involves a countable family of submersions $q_i : S^1 \times B_i \rightarrow X$. Now the points y in the ball $B_i \subseteq X$ such that $q_i(z, y) \notin \Omega$ for some $z \in S^1$ form a subset of measure zero, and likewise the union of all such points in the family of balls B_i forms a subset of measure zero. Therefore, the sections $V_\omega(g_n(A_n))$ and $V_\alpha(g_n(A_n))$ are well defined as $L^p(X)$ sections. The following Lemma appears as [13, Lemma 10].

Lemma 2.7. *There is a subsequence of (n) for which the sequences $V_\omega(g_n(A_n))$, $V_\alpha(g_n(A_n))$ are Cauchy sequences as sections of class $L^p(X)$.*

From this fact and the perturbed Dirac equation, the first equation of (14), we can use elliptic regularity to show that the sequence $(g_n \Psi_n)$ is bounded in $L_1^p(K)$ for any compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$, and for all $p \geq 2$. So there is a subsequence for which the spinor component Ψ_n is Cauchy in $L^p(K)$ for all compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$, and for all $p \geq 2$.

From these global results we go back to a local consideration. Let us rename $(g_n(A_n), g_n \Psi_n)$ as (A_n, Ψ_n) . We restrict the monopole equations to the balls B_{x_i} where the sequence of connections A_n can be put in Coulomb gauge via a sequence of gauge transformations v_{n, x_i} . Then we use elliptic regularity to see that the sequence $(v_{n, x_i}(A_n), v_{n, x_i} \Psi_n)$ is Cauchy in $L_1^p(B'_{x_i})$. Patching gauge transformations again, there is a subsequence of (n) , without loss of generality equal to the original sequence, and there are gauge transformations w_n on Ω such that $(w_n(A_n), w_n \Psi_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_1^p(K)$ for any compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$, and any $p \geq 2$. Therefore, there is a connection A on $E|_\Omega$ and a section Ψ of $S^+ \otimes E|_\Omega$ such that $(w_n(A_n), w_n \Psi_n)$ converges to (A, Ψ) in any of the norms $L_1^p(K)$. We summarise our discussion in the following

Proposition 2.8. *For the sequence (A_n, Ψ_n) there is a subsequence, denoted without loss of generality by the original sequence, and there are gauge transformations w_n on Ω , of class $L_2^p(K)$ on each compact subset $K \subseteq \Omega$ and for each $p \geq 2$, such that the sequence $(w_n(A_n), w_n \Psi_n)$ converges to a configuration (A, Ψ) , defined on Ω , in any of the norms $L_1^p(K)$. This configuration (A, Ψ) solves the unperturbed monopole equations (11) over Ω .*

The next step consists of showing that (A, Ψ) extends to a configuration (A', Ψ') of class L_1^p on the entire manifold X , but associated to a different bundle $E' \rightarrow X$, and that this configuration solves the associated *unperturbed* monopole equations.

2.5.3. Regularity. The regularity result that will be needed in the present situation is the following:

Proposition 2.9. *(Regularity of L^4 small L_1^2 almost solutions)*

There is a positive constant $M > 0$ such that the following holds: Let $(A, \Psi) \in$

$L_1^2(Z; \mathfrak{su}(E) \oplus S_5^+ \otimes E)$ be a L_1^2 configuration associated to a trivial Hermitian rank-2 bundle E on the closed 4-manifold Z . Suppose that

- (1) $d^*A = 0$,
- (2) $\|(A, \Psi)\|_{L^4(Z)} \leq M$, and
- (3) $(\not{D}_A^+ \Psi, \gamma(F_A^+) - \mu(\Psi))$ is of class L^p for any $p \geq 2$.

Then (A, Ψ) is of class L_1^p for any $p \geq 2$.

Proof: This follows from elliptic regularity along the same lines as in the Proof of Lemma 2.5. For the first step one uses the same argument as in [6, Proposition 3.2] to obtain L_1^p regularity for $2 \leq p < 4$ of (A, Ψ) , once its L^4 norm is small enough. $\square$

2.5.4. Removable singularities. The following Lemma is analogous to the role of [4, Proposition 4.4.10] in the compactification of the moduli space of ASD connections, see there also for the notion of ‘strongly simply-connectedness’ of a domain of a manifold.

Lemma 2.10. *Let Ω be a strongly simply conneced domain of a 4-manifold, and let $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$ be a precompact interior domain. There are constants $\delta_\Omega, \varepsilon_\Omega > 0$ and $M_{\Omega, \Omega'} > 0$ such that the following holds:*

Let A be a connection of class L_1^p for some $p > 4$ on Ω , satisfying $F_A^+ = \lambda$ with

- (1) $\|\lambda\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \delta_\Omega$, and
- (2) $\|F_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \varepsilon_\Omega$.

Then A can be represented over Ω' by a connection matrix A^τ with

$$\|A^\tau\|_{L^4(\Omega')} \leq M_{\Omega, \Omega'} \|F_A\|_{L^2(\Omega)} .$$

Proof: The idea is similar to the proof of [4, Proposition 4.4.10]. There is a cover of Ω' by a finite number of balls on which we can apply Uhlenbeck’s gauge fixing theorem Lemma 2.4, with possibly distinct values of ε . The connection matrices A^τ, A^σ are related on their overlaps by transition functions v satisfying

$$dv = v A^\tau + A^\sigma v .$$

As in Lemma 2.5 above we can see that we can bound the L_1^p norm of A^τ by its L^4 norm, and thus the L^2 norm of the curvature, and by the L^p norm of λ . So by making these two quantities small we can make the L_1^p norm of A^τ small. As we have a bounded inclusion $L_1^p \hookrightarrow C^0$ we can therefore make the derivative of dv small in C^0 , by the preceeding formula. One then may proceed as in the proof of [4, Proposition 4.4.10] to obtain the conclusion. $\square$

Remark. *An easy computation shows that on an n -dimensional manifold Ω the L^p norm of a differential form α behaves as in the following formula under constant rescaling of the metric:*

$$\|\alpha\|_{L^p(\Omega, s^2 g)} = s^{\frac{n}{p} - \deg(\alpha)} \|\alpha\|_{L^p(\Omega, g)} .$$

Therefore, in the situation of the preceeding Lemma, the L^p norm of the (bundle-valued) 2-form χ for the metric $s^2 g$, with $s \in (0, 1]$, controls its L^p norm for the metric g , provided we have $p \geq 2$. As a consequence, if applying the previous Lemma with the role of (Ω, Ω') played by annuli $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}')$ inside the 4-ball, the constants in

the Lemma may be taken independently of $r \in (0, 1]$ under rescaling of the annuli under the dilatation map $x \mapsto rx$ of the ball.

One now uses arguments analogous to the one in [4, Section 4.4.5 and 4.4.6] for proving a Theorem on removable singularities, using Lemmata 2.10 and 2.9 at the place of Propositions [4, 4.4.10 and 4.4.13]. The remaining arguments leading to Proposition 2.3 are analogous to [21, Section 4.4].

2.6. Transversality in the main stratum. We will now show that the perturbation space $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ is sufficient to obtain generic regularity (for the main stratum) of the moduli spaces $M_{s,\kappa}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ of perturbed $PU(2)$ monopoles. Recall that the space $\mathcal{C}^{**}$ consists of pairs (A, Ψ) with irreducible connection A and non-vanishing spinor Ψ .

Theorem 2.11. *Suppose again the condition 1.4 holds. Then the smooth map of Banach manifolds*

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{C}^{**} \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E \oplus \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) ,$$

given by the left-hand side of the perturbed $PU(2)$ monopole equations (14) minus the right hand side of (14), is transverse to zero.

Proof: The proof is a generalisation of that of the transversality theorem in [13] to monopoles. Suppose we have an element $(\omega, \alpha, A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{C}^{**}$ such that $\mathcal{F}((\omega, \alpha, A, \Psi)) = 0$. Let us denote by

$$\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+ := \mathcal{D}_A^+ + h(\|F_A\|_{L^m(X)})h(\|V_\alpha(A)\|_{L_{1,A}^\infty})\gamma(V_\alpha(A)) + \gamma(\beta)$$

the ‘perturbed Dirac operator’ in (14). We will show that the derivative

$$\begin{aligned} P := d\mathcal{F}|_{(\omega, \alpha, A, \Psi)} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W} \times L_l^2(X; S^+ \otimes E \oplus \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \\ \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E \oplus \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \end{aligned}$$

is surjective. To simplify notations we may without loss of generality assume that the ‘cut off factors’ $h(\|F_A\|_{L^m(X)})$ and $h(\|V_\alpha(A)\|_{L_{1,A}^\infty})$ appearing in (14) are equal to 1. It actually only matters that they are non-zero in this proof. In particular, we shall not consider the variation of these cut-off terms. Under this notational simplification, this derivative is given by the explicit expression

$$\begin{aligned} P(\nu, \beta, a, \Phi) = (\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+ \Phi + \gamma(a + dV_\alpha|_A(a) + V_\beta(A))\Psi, \\ d_{A,\omega}^+ a + \gamma^{-1}(K(\mu(\Psi, \Phi) + \mu(\Phi, \Psi))) + V_\nu(A)) , \end{aligned}$$

where $d_{A,\omega}^+$ now denotes the operator $d_A^+ + dV_\omega|_A$.

Instead of proving directly that P is surjective we shall consider the operators

$$P'_k : \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V} \times T_k \rightarrow L_{k-1}^2 ,$$

where T_k is the slice of the gauge-group action given by

$$T_k = \ker(d_{A,\Psi}^{0,*}) \subseteq L_k^2(X; S^+ \otimes E \oplus \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) ,$$

and where P'_k has the same formal expression as P above.

As a first step we show that $P'_1 : \mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{V} \times T_1 \rightarrow L^2$ is surjective. Suppose $(b, \Sigma) \in L^2(X; S^- \otimes E \oplus \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E))$ is L^2 -orthogonal to the image of P'_1 . First we shall variate $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$ alone. We therefore have

$$0 = \langle P'_1(\nu), (\Sigma, b) \rangle_{L^2} = \langle V_\nu(A), b \rangle_{L^2}$$

for all $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$. Now $\nu \mapsto V_\nu(A)$ has L^2 -dense image (see the proof of the above Theorem 1.5 in [13]), so $b = 0$.

Next we would like to variate the spinor Φ alone, i.e. to consider $P'_1(\Phi)$ for an arbitrary L^2_1 section in $S^+ \otimes E$. This, however, is not possible because only the slice T_1 is involved in the definition of P'_1 - it is not clear whether for a general spinor Φ there is a solution $(0, \Phi) \in T_1$. If it were possible, the argument would continue like this: We would have

$$0 = \langle P'_1(\Phi), (\Sigma, 0) \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+ \Phi, \Sigma \rangle_{L^2}$$

for all $\Phi \in L^2_1(X; S^+ \otimes E)$. As a consequence, we would get $\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^- \Sigma = 0$ in the distributional sense, where $\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^-$ is the formal L^2 -adjoint of $\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+$. By elliptic regularity of the operator $\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^-$ we would then see that Σ is actually of Sobolev class L^2_l , so $\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^- \Sigma = 0$ would hold in the usual sense. Now the point is that we still can conclude that Σ satisfies the Dirac equation $\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^- \Sigma = 0$. Here is the argument: First, there is an elliptic deformation complex as in (16) for any Sobolev index k , in particular for $k = 1$. Applying Hodge theory to this elliptic complex gives the topological decomposition:

$$L^2_1(X; S^+ \otimes E \oplus \Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) = \ker(d_{(A,\Psi)}^{0,*}) \oplus \text{im}(d_{(A,\Psi)}^0) = T_1 \oplus \text{im}(d_{(A,\Psi)}^0).$$

Second, we observe that $\text{im}(d_{(A,\Psi),(\omega,\alpha)}^1) = \text{im}(d_{(A,\Psi),(\omega,\alpha)}^1|_{T_1})$ because $d_{(A,\Psi),(\omega,\alpha)}^0 \circ d_{(A,\Psi)}^0 = 0$. Third, the restriction of P'_1 to the slice T_1 is precisely equal to $d_{\omega,\alpha}^1|_{T_1}$. As a consequence, for any $\Phi \in L^2_1(X; S^+ \otimes E)$ there is an element $(a, \Phi') \in T_1$ of the slice such that $P'_1(a, \Phi') = (\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+ \Phi, \dots)$ (we are only interested in the spinor component of P'_1 in the argument to show that Σ satisfies the Dirac equation).

By assumption $\Psi \neq 0$, so if $\Psi(x) \neq 0$ then $\Psi(y)$ is non-zero for all y in a neighbourhood U of x . We shall now variate β alone, so that we obtain

$$0 = \langle P'_1(\beta), (\Sigma, 0) \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \gamma(V_\beta(A))\Psi, \Sigma \rangle_{L^2} \quad (21)$$

for all $\beta \in \mathcal{V}$. Note that the map $\Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{gl}(E) \rightarrow S^- \otimes E$ given by $e \mapsto \gamma(e)\Psi$ is pointwise surjective at any point where $\Psi \neq 0$. By the condition 1.4 and the fact that A is irreducible there is a finite number of submersions $q_i : S^1 \times B_i \rightarrow X$ such that $x \in \text{int}(B_i)$ and such that the holonomys $\text{Hol}_{q_i}(A)(x) \in \mathfrak{gl}(E)_x$ span $\mathfrak{gl}(E)_x$. Furthermore, the holonomy sections $\text{Hol}_{q_i}(A)$ on B_i continue to span $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$ in a neighbourhood of x , because l was chosen so that we have a Sobolev inclusion $L^2_l \hookrightarrow C^0$, and so the holonomy sections are continuous. Multiplying the finite number of holonomy sections $\text{Hol}_{q_i}(A)$ with convenient one-forms $\beta_i \in \Omega^1(B_i; \mathbb{C})$ supported in small enough neighbourhoods of x we obtain a perturbation $\beta = (\beta_i) \in \mathcal{V}$, where all but these finite number of one-forms are zero, such that the equation (21) implies that Σ is zero in a neighbourhood U' of x . As we also have $\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^- \Sigma = 0$ the unique continuation principle [1] for solutions to the perturbed Dirac-equation implies that $\Sigma = 0$ on the whole of X .

Therefore we have shown that P'_1 is surjective. Suppose now that $P'_1(\beta, \nu, b, \Phi)$ lies in the Sobolev class L^2_{l-1} . The additional hypothesis that $(b, \Phi) \in T_1$ now imply by elliptic regularity that (b, Φ) is of Sobolev class L^2_l . Obviously we then have $P'_l(\beta, \nu, b, \Phi) = P'_1(\beta, \nu, b, \Phi)$, so that P'_l and in particular P is in fact surjective onto L^2_{l-1} . $\square$

Corollary 2.12. *For a residual set of perturbations $(\omega, \alpha) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ the subspace $M_{s,\kappa}^{w,**}(\omega, \alpha)$ is regular. It therefore admits the structure of a smooth manifold of the expected dimension.*

□

Remark. *This transversality Theorem remains true with literally the identical proof if the bundle $E \rightarrow X$ is replaced with any Hermitian bundle of higher rank than 2, contrary to the situation in [6]. This may be relevant to any investigation following the ideas developed in [27].*

Lemma 2.13. *Suppose the connection A is irreducible and that the Dirac-operator D_A^+ has non-negative index. Then there is an open and dense subset of elements $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$ such that the perturbed Dirac-operator*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+ &= \mathcal{D}_A^+ + h(\|F_A\|_{L^m(X)})h(\|V_\alpha(A)\|_{L_{1,A}^\infty})\gamma(V_\alpha(A)) + \gamma(\beta) \\ &: L_l^2(X; S^+ \otimes E) \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E) \end{aligned}$$

is surjective.

Proof: Let us consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} g : \mathcal{V} \times L_l^2(X; S^+ \otimes E) \setminus \{0\} &\rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E) \\ (\alpha, \Psi) &\mapsto \mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+ \Psi . \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of the last theorem we see that 0 is a regular value of this map. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the zero-set $g^{-1}(0) \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times L_l^2(X; S^+ \otimes E) \setminus \{0\}$. The projection onto the first factor $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is then a Fredholm map of the same index as that of the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_A^+$. In fact, for $(\alpha, \Psi) \in \mathcal{M}$ the kernel and cokernel of $d\pi_{(\alpha,\Psi)}$ and of $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \Psi}|_{(\alpha,\Psi)}$ are naturally isomorphic. Now by the Sard-Smale theorem there is a residual subset of $\mathcal{V}$ consisting of regular values for π . Note that we simply have

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \Psi} \Big|_{(\alpha,\Psi)} = \mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+ .$$

Therefore, if α is a regular value for π , the perturbed Dirac-operator $\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+$ is surjective. The dependence of the bounded operator $\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+ : L_l^2(X; S^+ \otimes E) \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E)$ on α is continuous. Therefore the residual set of values α for which this operator is surjective is also open. □

2.7. Transversality in the lower strata. Recall that the unperturbed monopole equations (11) involved the ‘parameters’ $\beta \in \Omega^1(X; \mathbb{C})$ and let $K \in \mathrm{GL}_+(\Lambda_+^2)$. We did not refer to these as ‘perturbations’ of the equations in order to reserve this term for the holonomy perturbations (ω, α) considered above (and to avoid confusion). Nonetheless we use these parameters to obtain regularity for the (holonomy) unperturbed moduli spaces $M_{s,\kappa-n}^{w,**}$ for $n \geq 1$, following [21]. A similar approach appears in [5].

In fact, the whole point about the holonomy perturbations was to extend the perturbations appearing in (10) which defined the Casson-type invariant $n_{w,o}(\omega)$, and to obtain Lemma 2.13 above.

Regularity in [21] is achieved by first perturbing the equations with (β, K) , resulting in a ‘parametrised moduli space’ which contains exceptions to transversality,

and then perturbing the Clifford-multiplication and thereby the Riemannian metric to remove these points of exception. The equations (11) are therefore considered as equations for the configuration (A, Ψ) and the parameters (β, K, γ) , where, after fixing one reference Clifford multiplication, γ can be seen as an orientation preserving automorphism of the cotangent bundle $\Lambda^1(X)$. Correspondingly, the perturbation space $\mathcal{P}^l$ is taken to be the space

$$\Omega^1(X; \mathbb{C}) \times \Gamma(X; \mathrm{Gl}_+(\mathfrak{su}(S_s^+)) \times \Gamma(X; \mathrm{Gl}_+(\Lambda^1(X)))$$

completed with respect to the C^l norm. The regularity statement is then [21, Theorem 3.19]:

Theorem 2.14. *There is a dense second category subset $\mathcal{P}_0^l$ of $\mathcal{P}^l$ such that for any $(\beta, K, \gamma) \in \mathcal{P}_0^l$ the corresponding moduli space $M_{s, \kappa}^{w, **} = M_{s, \kappa}^{w, **}(\beta, K, \gamma)$ is regular away from the abelian locus. Moreover, the space $\mathcal{P}_0^l$ may be chosen so that this conclusion holds for all moduli spaces $M_{s, \kappa-n}^{w, **}$ with $n \geq 0$ at once.*

The ‘abelian locus’ referred to in this statement are the fixed points of the circle action in Section 2.9 below that are not configurations with vanishing spinor.

2.8. Index computations. The index of the elliptic operator $\mathcal{D}_A^+$ can be computed from the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, and is given by

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}_A^+) = \langle \mathrm{ch}(E) e^{\frac{1}{2}c_1(S_s^+)} \hat{A}(TX), [X] \rangle .$$

This formula can be found in [19, §6.4]. In our situation we thus obtain

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}_A^+) = 2 \mathrm{ind}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}_s^+) + \frac{1}{2} \langle c_1(S_s^+) c_1(E) + c_1(E)^2, [X] \rangle - \langle c_2(E), [X] \rangle , \quad (22)$$

where we have denoted by $\mathcal{D}_s^+$ the Dirac operator determined by the $Spin^c$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$ and the fixed $Spin^c$ connection that we have suppressed in the notation.

If we wish to make explicit that the coupled Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_A^+$ depends on the $Spin^c$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$ and the Hermitian bundle $E \rightarrow X$, then we shall write $\mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{D}_A^+) =: \mathrm{ind}(\mathcal{D}_s^+, E)$. Similarly, we shall write $\mathrm{ind}(\delta_A) =: \mathrm{ind}(\delta, E)$ for the deformation operator δ_A of the instanton moduli space.

For our application in mind the bundle $E \rightarrow X$ is chosen such that it defines a Casson-type instanton moduli space as in Proposition 1.9. This is only a restriction up to tensoring the Hermitian bundle $E \rightarrow X$ with a Hermitian line bundle, see Proposition 1.13. We are, however, free in the choice of the $Spin^c$ structure.

Proposition 2.15. *Let X be negative definite, and suppose $E \rightarrow X$ is a Hermitian rank-2 bundle defining the Casson-type instanton moduli space according to Proposition 1.9. Then we can find a $Spin^c$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$ such that the index of the twisted Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_A^+ : \Gamma(X; S_s^+ \otimes E) \rightarrow \Gamma(X; S_s^- \otimes E)$ is one,*

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}_s^+, E) = 1 .$$

Remark. *This is in sharp contrast to the untwisted Dirac operator associated to a $Spin^c$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$ on a negative four-manifold, where we have*

$$\mathrm{ind}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{D}_s^+) = \frac{1}{8} \langle c_1(S_s^+)^2, [X] \rangle - \mathrm{sign}(X) \leq 0 .$$

Proof: Notice first that if we take the tensor product of $E \rightarrow X$ with a Hermitian line bundle $L \rightarrow X$, then we have

$$\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{s} \otimes L^*}^+, E \otimes L) = \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+, E),$$

where $\mathfrak{s} \otimes L^*$ denotes the Spin^c structure that one obtains from twisting $\mathfrak{s}$ with the dual of the line bundle L .

Suppose we are given a set of elements $\{e_i\}$ inducing a basis of $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Torsion}$ which diagonalises the intersection form. Up to taking tensor products with line bundles, and up to a torsion element, we may suppose that

$$c_1(E) = \sum k_i e_i$$

with k_i being either 0 or 1. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $k_1 = \dots = k_N = 1$, and $k_{N+1} = \dots = k_{b_2(X)} = 0$. Certainly we have $N \geq 4$ by the Observation after Proposition 1.7. By our liberty of choice in the Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s}$ we may suppose that $c_1(\mathfrak{s})$ is any charactersitic element of the intersection form. In particular, we may admit that

$$c_1(\mathfrak{s}) = \sum l_i e_i$$

with $l_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Let $m := 1 + \frac{3N}{4}$. If we choose $l_1 = \dots = l_m = -1$ and $l_{m+1} = \dots = l_{b_2(X)} = +1$ then it follows from a simple explicit calculation that the index $\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+, E)$ is indeed equal to 1. $\square$

Proposition 2.16. *Suppose a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s}$ is chosen so that the index of the twisted Dirac operator is one, $\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+, E) = 1$, where $E \rightarrow X$ is a Hermitian bundle defining the Casson-type moduli space according to Proposition 1.9. Then the expected dimension of the moduli space of $PU(2)$ monopoles $M_{0,\mathfrak{s}}^w$ is 2. Furthermore, the lower strata of the space of ideal monopoles $IM_{0,\mathfrak{s}}^w = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{-n,\mathfrak{s}}^w$ are all of strictly negative expected dimension.*

Proof: From the formulae (4) and (22) the expected dimension of the moduli space $M_{-n,\mathfrak{s}}^w$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}(\delta, E_{-n}) + 2 \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+, E_{-n}) &= \text{ind}(\delta, E) - 8n + 2(\text{ind}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+, E) + n) \\ &= \text{ind}(\delta, E) + 2 \text{ind}(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{s}}^+) - 6n \\ &= \text{ex-dim}(M_{0,\mathfrak{s}}^w) - 6n. \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption made this is strictly negative for $n > 0$. $\square$

2.9. A circle action on the configuration space of $PU(2)$ monopoles. We will now introduce an action of the circle S^1 on the configuration space $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{s}}^w$ of $PU(2)$ monopoles and will describe its fixed point set. On the pre-configuration space this action $S^1 \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is simply given by scalar multiplication on the spinor,

$$(z, (A, \Psi)) \mapsto (A, z\Psi).$$

This action descends to an action $\rho : S^1 \times \mathcal{B}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{s}}^w \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\kappa,\mathfrak{s}}^w$ on the configuration space. However, the latter is not effective - in fact it is the two-fold covering of an effective action $\rho^{1/2}$ due to the fact that the stabiliser Γ_A of a connection A in the

gauge groupe $\mathcal{G}$ always contains the centre $Z(SU(2)) = \pm \text{id}$. We therefore have $\rho(z, [A, \Psi]) = [A, z\Psi]$ and $\rho^{1/2}(z, [A, \Psi]) = [A, z^{1/2}\Psi]$, where $z^{1/2}$ is an arbitrary square-root of z . The following well-known proposition can be found, for instance, in [7, 21, 27].

Proposition 2.17. *A configuration $[A, \Psi] \in \mathcal{B}_{\kappa, s}^w$ belongs to the fixed point set of ρ respectively $\rho^{1/2}$ if and only if for any representative $(A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{C}$ we have one of the following:*

- (1) *There is an A -parallel decomposition of E into the sum of two line-bundles, $E = K \oplus L$, and Ψ is a non-vanishing section of either $S^+ \otimes K$ or $S^+ \otimes L$.*
- (2) *The spinor vanishes, $\Psi \equiv 0$.*

*On the complement of the fixed point set the action $\rho^{1/2}$ is free. Furthermore, if (A, Ψ) solves the monopole equations (11) then $[A, \Psi]$ is a fixed point if and only if A is reducible or has vanishing spinor component. In particular, the action is free on the subspace $M_{s, \kappa}^{w, **}$ of $M_{s, \kappa}^w$.*

Remark. *On negative four-manifolds with the bundle $E \rightarrow X$ chosen as in Lemma 1.7 there are no fixed points of the first type in the above Proposition.*

2.10. Orientations. In analogy to the instanton situation we will determine natural orientations for the subspace of the $PU(2)$ moduli space $M_{\kappa, s}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ consisting of regular points with *trivial* stabiliser under the gauge group, and thus forming a manifold of the expected dimension. Again, this is done by considering the determinant line bundle of the family of Fredholm operators given by the deformation operators parametrised by the configuration space $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa, s}^{w, *}$.

Let

$$D_{(A, \Psi), \omega, \alpha} := d_{(A, \Psi)}^{0, *} \oplus d_{(A, \Psi), (\omega, \alpha)}^1$$

be the deformation operator associated to the ‘elliptic complex’ (16). To be more precise, (16) is only a complex if (A, Ψ) satisfies the perturbed monopole equations. However, the operator $D_{(A, \Psi), \omega, \alpha}$ is Fredholm for any $(A, \Psi) \in \mathcal{C}$. We obtain a homotopy of Fredholm operators by the formula $t \mapsto D_{(A, t\Psi), (\omega, \alpha)}$. The operator $D_{(A, 0), \omega, \alpha}$ is simply given by the direct sum $\delta_{A, \omega} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{A, \alpha}^+$. Now let

$$\Theta_{\omega, \alpha}(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\kappa, s}^{w, *}$$

be the quotient of the determinant line bundle of the operator $D_{(A, t\Psi), \omega, \alpha}$ by the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$. We have got the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.18. (1) *Suppose the moduli space $M_{\kappa, s}^{w, **}(\omega, \alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\kappa, s}^{w, **}$ is regular. Then the restriction of the determinant line bundle $\Theta_{\omega, \alpha}(1)$ to it is equal to the orientation bundle of $M_{\kappa, s}^{w, **}(\omega, \alpha)$.*

(2) *The restriction of the determinant line bundle $\Theta_{\omega, \alpha}(0)$ to the subspace $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa, s}^{w, **}$ is given by*

$$\Theta_{\omega, \alpha}(0)|_{\mathcal{B}^{**}} = \pi^* \Lambda_{\omega} \otimes \Omega_{\alpha},$$

*where $\Lambda_{\omega} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\kappa}^{w, *}$ is the determinant line bundle of the instanton deformation operator of section 1.6, the map $\pi : \mathcal{B}_{\kappa, s}^{w, **} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\kappa}^{w, *}$ is the projection onto the connection component, and where Ω_{α} is the determinant line bundle of the family of Dirac operators $\mathcal{D}_{A, \alpha}^+$.*

Note that the line bundles $\Theta_{\omega, \alpha}(1)$ and $\Theta_{\omega, \alpha}(0)$ are naturally isomorphic. Note further that the line bundle Ω_{α} is trivial and admits a natural trivialisation because it is the (real) determinant line bundle of the complex line bundle on $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^{w, *}$ formed by the complex determinant line bundle of the family of Dirac operators $\mathcal{D}_{A, \alpha}^+$. Recall that the letter o designated a choice of trivialisation of the determinant line bundle $\Lambda_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\kappa}^{w, *}$ in section 1.6, and that there is also a natural isomorphism between Λ_0 and Λ_{ω} . We therefore get the following

Corollary 2.19. *Suppose the moduli space $M_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^{w, **}(\omega, \alpha)$ is regular. Then it is orientable. Furthermore, a choice of trivialisation o of the trivial line bundle Λ_0 determines a natural orientation of $M_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^{w, **}(\omega, \alpha)$.*

2.11. Local models around the instantons. We will recall some theory of local models in general here, and then apply the results to the neighbourhood of the instantons $[A] \in M_0^w(\omega)$ inside the $PU(2)$ monopole moduli space $M_{0, \mathfrak{s}}^w(\omega, \alpha)$, where the instantons are considered as $PU(2)$ monopoles $[A, 0]$ with vanishing spinor. We actually need some equivariant version for local models here, and we will emphasise why this works as well. Furthermore, we shall make use of the elliptic deformation complex (16). To simplify the notations we shall continue to write H^0, H^1, H^2 if we mean actually the *harmonic representatives* of the cohomology spaces H^0, H^1 and H^2 of that complex.

The following result is standard, see [4] or [6].

Proposition 2.20. *Suppose (A, Ψ) is an element of the pre-configuration space $\mathcal{C}$. Let $T_{(A, \Psi)}$ be the slice of to the gauge-group action $\mathcal{G}$ given by $T_{(A, \Psi)} := \ker(d_{A, \Psi}^{0, *})$. Let $\pi : T_{(A, \Psi)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ be the projection map given by $(a, \Phi) \mapsto [A + a, \Psi + \Phi]$. The induced map*

$$T_{(A, \Psi)} / \Gamma_{(A, \Psi)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}} ,$$

yields a homeomorphism of a neighbourhood of $[0] \in T_{(A, \Psi)} / \Gamma_{(A, \Psi)}$ onto a neighbourhood of $[A, \Psi]$ in the configuration space $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ of $PU(2)$ monopoles.

As we have seen above a point $[A, 0] \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is always a fixed point of the circle action on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}}$. On the slice $T_{(A, 0)}$ we have a circle action $S^1 \times T_{(A, 0)} \rightarrow T_{(A, 0)}$ given by $(z, (a, \Phi)) \mapsto (a, z\Phi)$. The actions of $\Gamma_{(A, 0)}$ and S^1 commute and they factor through an obvious action of the group $\Gamma_{(A, 0)} \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$. To simplify the notation we will now write Γ instead of $\Gamma_{(A, 0)}$. The projection $\pi : T_{(A, 0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is then equivariant with respect to the action of $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ on the slice and the S^1 -action ρ on $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ of section 2.9. Therefore we get

Proposition 2.21. *The map*

$$T_{(A, 0)} / \Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}} / S^1$$

induced from the projection π yields a homeomorphism of a neighbourhood of $[0]$ in $T_{(A, 0)} / \Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ onto a neighbourhood of $[A, 0]$ in the S^1 -quotient $\mathcal{B}_{\mathfrak{s}} / S^1$.

We will now use this result to describe a neighbourhood of a point $[A, \Psi]$ in the moduli space $M_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{\omega, \alpha}$ be the map given by the left-hand side of the $PU(2)$ monopole equations (14) where the perturbations (ω, α) are kept fixed. We

consider the restriction of this map to the slice $T_{(A,\Psi)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f : T_{(A,\Psi)} &\rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E \oplus \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) \\ (a, \Phi) &\mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\omega, \alpha}(A + a, \Psi + \Phi) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

This map is equivariant with respect to the natural action of $\Gamma_{(A,\Psi)}$ on both spaces. Furthermore, it is equivariant with respect to the natural $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ actions in case we consider a fixed point of the circle action of the form $[A, 0]$. From the above propositions we therefore get:

Proposition 2.22. *The projection π of Proposition 2.20 induces a homeomorphism of a neighbourhood of the origin in $f^{-1}(0)/\Gamma_{A,\Psi}$ onto a neighbourhood of $[A, \Psi]$ in $M_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w$. Furthermore, it induces a homeomorphism of the origin in $f^{-1}(0)/\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ onto a neighbourhood of $[A, 0]$ in $M_{\kappa, \mathfrak{s}}^w/S^1$.*

Next it can be shown that this description of the neighbourhood of $[A, \Psi]$ in the moduli space by the zero-set of the map f modulo stabiliser can be cut down to the zero-set of a map $h : H_{\omega, \alpha}^1 \rightarrow H_{\omega, \alpha}^2$ between the finite-dimensional cohomology spaces of the elliptic complex (16) associated to (A, Ψ) , modulo stabiliser. See the discussion in [4, section 4.2.4 and 4.2.5]. We will now make this local description explicit around fixed points $[A, 0]$ of the circle action on the moduli-space.

Let Q be the derivative of f at 0. It equals the restriction of $d_{\omega, \alpha}^1$ of the elliptic complex (16) to the slice $T_{(A,0)}$. This map Q is a $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -equivariant Fredholm map. Note that $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ acts isometrically on both $T_{(A,0)}$ and the image space of Q . Now there is a topological decomposition of the slice as

$$T_{(A,0)} = H_{(\omega, \alpha)}^1 \oplus T' ,$$

where $H_{(\omega, \alpha)}^1$ is the kernel of Q and where T' is a $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -invariant complement of it. Simply take the L_l^2 -orthogonal complement of $H_{(\omega, \alpha)}^1$ inside the slice $T_{(A,0)}$. There is also a topological decomposition of the target space as

$$L_{l-1}^2(X; S^- \otimes E \oplus \Lambda_+^2 \otimes \mathfrak{su}(E)) = H_{(\omega, \alpha)}^2 \oplus \text{im}(Q) ,$$

where the harmonic space $H_{(\omega, \alpha)}^2$ is given by $\ker((d_{A, \omega}^+)^*) \oplus \ker(\mathcal{D}_{A, \alpha}^-)$, which is equally a $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -invariant subspace. Therefore Q is a map

$$Q : H_{(\omega, \alpha)}^1 \oplus T' \rightarrow H_{(\omega, \alpha)}^2 \oplus \text{im}(Q) ,$$

and the restriction $Q' : T' \rightarrow \text{im}(Q)$ is an equivariant isomorphism of Hilbert spaces.

Let $p : H_{(\omega, \alpha)}^2 \oplus \text{im}(Q) \rightarrow \text{im}(Q)$ be the orthogonal projection.

Proposition 2.23. *There is a $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -equivariant diffeomorphism g of a neighbourhood of the origin in the slice $T_{(A,0)}$ such that*

$$p \circ f \circ g = p \circ Q .$$

Proof: To simplify the notation we shall only write H^1 for the harmonic space $H_{\omega, \alpha}^1$. We define the map

$$G : H^1 \oplus T' \rightarrow H^1 \oplus T'$$

by the formula $G(h, t) := (h, Q'^{-1} \circ p \circ f(h, t))$, where $h \in H^1, t \in T'$. This is a $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -equivariant map. Its derivative at $(0, 0)$ is easily seen to be the identity. Therefore G is a diffeomorphism of a neighbourhood of $(0, 0)$ onto a

neighbourhood of $(0,0)$. Let g be its inverse, which is necessarily equivariant. However, the equation $G \circ g(h,t) = (h,t)$ is simply equivalent to

$$p \circ f \circ g(h,t) = Q'(t) = p \circ Q(h,t),$$

which is the equality we sought to prove. $\square$

Corollary 2.24. *Suppose g is a $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -equivariant diffeomorphism as in the last proposition. Then we have*

$$f \circ g(h,t) = (\alpha(h,t), Q'(t)) ,$$

where α is a $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -equivariant map which has vanishing derivative at 0. As a consequence, up to a $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -equivariant diffeomorphism, the zero-set $f^{-1}(0)$ is given by the zero-set of the $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -equivariant map $\alpha(-,0) : H^1 \rightarrow H^2$.

This finite dimensional model is pretty standard. Our emphasis lies on the point that all this is true $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -equivariantly.

The following statement is a simple consequence of the implicit function theorem and makes the charts of the moduli space $M_{s,\kappa}^{w,**}$ a little more explicit:

Lemma 2.25. *Suppose $[A, \Psi]$ is a regular monopole. Then there is a smooth map $h : U \rightarrow T_{(A,\Psi)}$, defined on a neighbourhood U of $0 \in H_{(A,\Psi)}^1$ which yields a parametrisation of the zero-locus $f^{-1}(0) \subseteq T_{(A,\Psi)}$ of the map (23) around 0. Furthermore, h is of the form*

$$h(a, \Phi) = (a, \Phi) + q(a, \Phi) ,$$

where the derivative of the map q vanishes at the origin. If $\Psi = 0$ the map h is S^1 -equivariant.

If (A, Ψ) has trivial stabiliser the composition $\pi \circ h_{(A,\Psi)}$ yields a smooth parametrisation of the moduli space $M_{s,\kappa}^{w,**}$ in a neighbourhood of $[A, \Psi]$, where $h_{(A,\Psi)}$ denotes the map $(a, \Phi) \mapsto (A, \Psi) + h(a, \Phi)$.

3. THE MAIN THEOREM AND ITS PROOF

Our main result is the vanishing of the Casson-type invariant as defined in section 1.8, and in particular as in the situation of Proposition 1.9.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose the negative definite four-manifold X has first Betti-number $b_1(X) = 1$ and admits a class $w \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\langle w^2, [X] \rangle$ is divisible by four, and such that the image of w in $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Torsion}$ is not divisible by 2. Then the Casson-type invariant $n_{w,o}(X)$ of section 1.8, defined by the algebraic count of a zero-dimensional moduli space $M_w^0(\omega)$ associated to a generic perturbation $\omega \in \mathcal{V}$ is zero,*

$$n_{w,o}(X) = 0 .$$

3.1. Sketch of proof. Before proving the theorem in the following section we shall first give a sketch of it, omitting the discussion of regularity and orientations.

Suppose the Casson-invariant is defined by the count $n_{w,o}(X) = \#M_0^w$. We will prove the theorem by constructing a suitable compact moduli space $M_{0,s}^w$ of $PU(2)$ monopoles of expected dimension 2, containing the instanton moduli space M_0^w as the subspace of monopoles with vanishing spinor.

The circle action of section 2.9 restricts to a circle action on the moduli space $M_{0,s}^w$. The instanton moduli space M_0^w is precisely equal to the fixed-point set of this circle action - there are no fixed points consisting of abelian $U(1)$ Seiberg-Witten monopoles because the bundle $E \rightarrow X$ does not split topologically according to Lemma 1.7, and connections in such bundles define the instanton moduli space M_0^w . The circle action is free on the complement which is equal to $M_{0,s}^{w,**}$. This subspace admits the structure of a smooth 2-dimensional manifold for generic perturbation.

As a consequence of our discussion on S^1 -equivariant local models of the moduli space around fixed points of the S^1 action, the quotient $M_{0,s}^w/S^1$ of the monopole moduli space by the circle action is a smooth *compact* 1-dimensional manifold with boundary. The boundary can be identified with the instanton moduli space M_0^w . Therefore the instanton moduli space M_0^w is smoothly cobordant to the empty space, and so $n_{w,o}(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

As a final step we discuss orientations. A choice of trivialisation of the determinant line bundle of the deformation operator $-d_A^* \oplus d_A^+$ determines an orientation of the instanton moduli space M_0^w . It also determines a natural orientation of the $PU(2)$ monopole moduli space and an orientation of the quotient $M_{0,s}^{w,**}/S^1$ after a suitable convention for the orientation of the quotient. We will then show that the two orientations of the instanton moduli space M_0^w , one as above and the other as the boundary of the oriented 1-dimensional manifold $M_{0,s}^w/S^1$, coincide. Therefore M_0^w is smoothly orientedly cobordant to the empty space, and so $n_{w,o}(X) = 0$.

3.2. Regularity and the one-dimensional cobordism. We will choose a $Spin^c$ structure $\mathfrak{s}$ according to Proposition 2.15. The complex index of the Dirac-operator D_A^+ is then equal to one. By the discussion in section 2.3 and the expected dimension 0 of the instanton moduli space M_0^w in Proposition 1.9, the expected dimension of the $PU(2)$ monopole moduli space $M_{0,s}^w$ is 2.

Next, we shall make a convenient genericity assumption. Note that countable intersections of residual sets are residual. By Theorem 1.5, Corollary 2.12, Lemma 2.13 and Theorem 2.14 there is therefore a residual set of perturbation parameters $(\omega, \alpha) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ and of parameters $(\beta, K, \gamma) \in \mathcal{P}^l$ such that the following condition holds:

Condition 3.2. *1. The moduli space of instantons $M_0^w(\omega, h, m)$ with cut off perturbations as in Section 1.9 consists of regular points only. It is therefore a compact zero-dimensional manifold and consists of a finite number of points.*
*2. The moduli space $M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ is compact and the subspace $M_{0,s}^{w,**}(\omega, \alpha)$ is a smooth two-dimensional manifold with a free circle-action.*
3. For each of the finite number of instantons $[A]$ occuring in $M_0^w(\omega, h, m)$ the perturbed Dirac-operator $D_{A,\alpha}^+$ has trivial cokernel.

In fact, the monopole moduli space $M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ is compact for the following reason. The lower strata of its Uhlenbeck-compactification according to Section 2.5 comprise elements in the monopole moduli spaces $M_{-n,s}^w$ for $n \geq 1$. However, these moduli spaces do not contain fixed points of the circle action by Lemma 1.7, Proposition 2.17, and because the instanton moduli spaces M_{-n}^w are empty for $n \geq 1$. Therefore $M_{-n,s}^w = M_{-n,s}^{w,**}$. But these moduli spaces have strictly negative expected dimension by 2.16, so they must be generically empty by Theorem 2.14.

The Casson-invariant is the signed count $n_{w,o}(X) := \#M_0^w(\omega) = \#M_0^w(\omega, h, m)$ according to Proposition 1.12 and the remarks in Section 1.9. In order to keep the exposition easier we shall now simply write $M_0^w(\omega)$ when we actually mean the moduli space $M_0^w(\omega, h, m)$ with cut off perturbations.

Note that the circle action ρ of section 2.9 restricts to a circle action on the monopole moduli space $M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha)$. According to Proposition 2.17 and the it following remark, the fixed point set of this restriction equals the instanton moduli space,

$$M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha)^{S^1} \cong M_0^w(\omega) ,$$

where an instanton $[A]$ is considered as $PU(2)$ monopole $[A, 0]$. Note also that the complement of the fixed-point set of the circle action consists of monopoles with irreducible connection and non-vanishing spinor,

$$M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha) \setminus M_0^w(\omega) = M_{0,s}^{w,**}(\omega, \alpha) .$$

This complement $M_{0,s}^{w,**}(\omega, \alpha)$ is a 2-dimensional smooth S^1 -space, and it has a natural compactification (inside the entire moduli space $M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha)$) with ends given by the instanton moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$. However, we want to be sure that each instanton only corresponds to one ‘end’ of the moduli space $M_{0,s}^{w,**}(\omega, \alpha)$. For this we have to study the local structure of the moduli space $M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ in the neighbourhood of an instanton $[A, 0]$.

By Proposition 2.22 and Corollary 2.24 a neighbourhood of an instanton $[A, 0]$ in the monopole moduli space $M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ or its S^1 -quotient is described be a $\Gamma \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$ -equivariant map

$$\alpha(-, 0) : H_{(A,0),(\omega,\alpha)}^1 \rightarrow H_{(A,0),(\omega,\alpha)}^2 ,$$

where the spaces $H_{(A,0),(\omega,\alpha)}^i$, $i = 1, 2$ are the cohomology spaces (or harmonic spaces) of the elliptic deformation complex (16) associated to the solution $(A, 0)$ of the perturbed monopole equations (14), and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(A,0),(\omega,\alpha)}^1 &= \ker(\delta_{A,\omega}) \oplus \ker(\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+) \\ H_{(A,0),(\omega,\alpha)}^2 &= \operatorname{coker}(d_{A,\omega}^+) \oplus \operatorname{coker}(\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+) . \end{aligned}$$

Under the above genericity assumption these spaces are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(A,0)}^1 &= \ker(\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+) \cong \mathbb{C} \\ H_{(A,0)}^2 &= 0 , \end{aligned}$$

where we have omitted the dependence on the perturbation.

The S^1 -action on $H_{(A,0)}^1 \subseteq T_{(A,0)}$ corresponding via Proposition 2.21 to the action ρ on the configuration space $\mathcal{B}_{0,s}^w$ is such that the S^1 -equivariant identification $\ker(\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+) \cong \mathbb{C}$ corresponds to the standard action of S^1 on $\mathbb{C}$.

Note that the stabiliser $\Gamma_{(A,0)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. Proposition 2.22 now implies that a neighbourhood of $[A, 0]$ in the moduli space $M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ is homeomorphic to a neighbourhood of 0 in the quotient $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ - it can be taken as a cone over the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^1$. It also implies that a neighbourhood of $[A, 0]$ in the S^1 -quotient $M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha)/S^1$ is homeomorphic to a neighbourhood of 0 in the quotient $\mathbb{C}/S^1 \cong [0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Thus we get

Proposition 3.3. *The S^1 -quotient of the monopole moduli space $M_{0,s}^w(\omega, \alpha)$ is a smooth one-dimensional manifold with boundary. Its boundary can be identified with the instanton moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$.*

Corollary 3.4. *The instanton moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$ is cobordant to the empty space. As a consequence, we must have $n_{w,o}(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.*

3.3. Consideration of orientations. We shall first agree that the boundary of an oriented manifold is oriented by the ‘outward normal first’ convention. In this way, for instance, the one-sphere S^1 , seen as the boundary of the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$ with its complex orientation, has its orientation ‘counterclock-wise’.

We shall continue to suppose that perturbations $(\omega, \alpha) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{W}$ are chosen such that the Condition 3.2 holds. However, we shall not make this explicit in the notation of the harmonic spaces $H_{(A,\Psi),(\omega,\alpha)}^1 = \ker(D_{(A,\Psi),(\omega,\alpha)})$ anymore and simply write $H_{(A,\Psi)}^1$ instead, and likewise for the deformation operators.

The zero-dimensional moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$ is oriented by a choice of trivialisation o of the determinant line bundle $\Lambda_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0^w$, see section 1.6.

According to Corollary 2.19, the regular subspace $M_{s,0}^{w,**}(\omega, \alpha)$ of the $PU(2)$ monopole moduli space is naturally oriented by a choice of trivialisation of the same determinant line bundle $\Lambda_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0^w$, and we shall choose the same trivialisation o as above.

The circle S^1 acts freely and smoothly on $M_{s,0}^{w,**}(\omega, \alpha)$. An orientation of the S^1 quotient $M_{s,0}^{w,**}(\omega, \alpha)/S^1$ is fixed by the following convention. Let $[A, \Psi]$ belong to $M_{s,0}^{w,**}(\omega, \alpha)$, and let $[[A, \Psi]]$ be the corresponding element in the S^1 quotient. We require that the direct sum of tangent spaces

$$T_{[A,\Psi]}M^{**} = T_1S^1.[A, \Psi] \oplus T_{[[A,\Psi]]}M^{**}/S^1 \quad (24)$$

is a direct sum of oriented vector spaces, where $S^1.[A, \Psi]$ denotes the S^1 orbit through $[A, \Psi]$. In other words, an oriented basis of $T_{[A,\Psi]}M^{**}$ is obtained by completing an oriented basis of the orbit with an oriented basis of $T_{[[A,\Psi]]}M^{**}/S^1$.

On the other hand, according to Proposition 3.3, the instanton moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$ can be seen as the boundary of the 1-dimensional manifold $M_{s,0}^w(\omega, \alpha)/S^1$. The open submanifold $M_{s,0}^{w,**}(\omega, \alpha)/S^1$ was given an orientation in the last paragraph. This induces an orientation on the boundary $M_0^w(\omega)$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 will be complete if we show that the two orientations on $M_0^w(\omega)$ coincide, because then the oriented moduli space $M_0^w(\omega)$ is orientedly cobordant to the empty space and therefore the Casson-type invariant $n_{w,o}(X)$ must be zero.

The harmonic space $H_{(A,0)}^1 = \ker(\mathcal{D}_{A,\alpha}^+)$ is oriented by the trivialisation o of Λ_0 , again by Corollary 2.19. If the instanton $[A] \in M_0^w(\omega)$ has orientation $+1$ the space $H_{(A,0)}^1$ is oriented by its natural complex orientation, otherwise it is oriented with the opposite of the complex orientation.

Now let us suppose that the monopole $[A', \Psi']$ is regular and has trivial stabiliser, and that further $(A', \Psi') \in T_{(A,0)}$ is close enough to $(A, 0)$ so that it is in the range of the parametrisation $h_{(A,0)}$ of Lemma 2.25, and let $h_{(A,0)}(\Phi) = (A', \Psi')$ for

$\Phi \in H_{(A,0)}^1$. The tangent space $T_\Phi H_{(A,0)}^1$ of $H_{(A,0)}^1$ at Φ is canonically identified with $H_{(A,0)}^1$. Let us denote explicitly the following two cases:

- (1) If $H_{(A,0)}^1$ admits its complex orientation the tangent space $T_\Phi H_{(A,0)}^1$ has an oriented basis consistent of

$$\left(\frac{\Phi}{\|\Phi\|}, \frac{i\Phi}{\|\Phi\|} \right).$$

- (2) If $H_{(A,0)}^1$ admits the opposite of the complex orientation the tangent space $T_\Phi H_{(A,0)}^1$ has an oriented basis consistent of

$$\left(-\frac{\Phi}{\|\Phi\|}, \frac{i\Phi}{\|\Phi\|} \right).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $h : U \rightarrow T_{(A,0)}$ be a parametrisation of the zero-locus of the ‘monopole map read through the slice’ (23) as in Lemma 2.25. After possibly restricting h to a smaller neighbourhood U' of $0 \in H_{(A,0)}^1$, the composition*

$$\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}|_{U' \setminus \{0\}} : U' \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow M_{s,0}^{w,**}$$

is a local diffeomorphism that is two-to-one and that is orientation-preserving.

Although this lemma seems obvious our proof is slightly technical. We defer it to the end of this section.

We will now terminate the proof of Theorem 3.1 assuming Lemma 3.5. Without loss of generality we may assume that the neighbourhood U equals the neighbourhood U' of the last lemma.

As h is S^1 -equivariant the vector

$$d_\Phi(\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}) \left(\frac{i\Phi}{\|\Phi\|} \right) \in T_{[A', \Psi']} M_{s,0}^{w,**}$$

yields a positive basis of the tangent space to the S^1 -orbit $S^1 \cdot [A', \Psi']$ at $[A', \Psi']$.

Suppose the instanton $[A] \in M_0^w(\omega)$ counts as $+1$. By Lemma 3.5 the two vectors

$$\left(d_\Phi(\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}) \left(\frac{\Phi}{\|\Phi\|} \right), d_\Phi(\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}) \left(\frac{i\Phi}{\|\Phi\|} \right) \right)$$

form a positive basis of the tangent space $T_{[A', \Psi']} M^{**}$. By our orientation convention (24) a positive basis of the tangent space $T_{[[A', \Psi']]} M^{**}/S^1$ to the quotient M^{**}/S^1 is given by the vector

$$-d_\Phi(\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}) \left(\frac{\Phi}{\|\Phi\|} \right).$$

This vector points ‘outwards’ towards the boundary instanton $[A]$. Therefore the orientation of $[A]$ as boundary point of the one-dimensional manifold with boundary $M_{s,0}^w/S^1$ is positive.

Now suppose the instanton $[A] \in M_0^w(\omega)$ counts as -1 . Now the two vectors

$$\left(-d_\Phi(\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}) \left(\frac{\Phi}{\|\Phi\|} \right), d_\Phi(\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}) \left(\frac{i\Phi}{\|\Phi\|} \right) \right)$$

form a positive basis of the tangent space $T_{[A', \Psi']} M^{**}$ and a positive basis of the tangent space $T_{[[A', \Psi']]} M^{**}/S^1$ to the quotient M^{**}/S^1 is given by the vector

$$d_\Phi(\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}) \left(\frac{\Phi}{\|\Phi\|} \right) .$$

This vector points ‘inwards’ away from the boundary instanton $[A]$. Therefore the orientation of $[A]$ as boundary point of the one-dimensional manifold with boundary $M_{s,0}^w/S^1$ is negative. $\square$

3.4. Proof of Lemma 3.5. By previous results we will only have to check that the map $\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}|_{U \setminus \{0\}}$ indeed has an orientation-preserving derivative for sufficiently small neighbourhoods U of $0 \in H_{(A,0)}^1$.

Suppose $h_{(A,0)}(\Phi) = (A', \Psi') \in (A, 0) + T_{(A,0)}$. Let $h_{(A', \Psi')} : H_{(A', \Psi')}^1 \rightarrow (A', \Psi') + T_{(A', \Psi')}$ be a parametrisation of $M_{s,0}^{w,**}$ around $[A', \Psi']$ as in Lemma 2.25. In order to prove the theorem we will read a convenient restriction of the map $\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}$ to a neighbourhood V of $\Phi \in H_{(A,0)}^1$ through the chart $(\pi \circ h_{(A', \Psi')})^{-1}$. This map

$$k := (\pi \circ h_{(A', \Psi')})^{-1} \circ (\pi \circ h_{(A,0)}|_V) : V \subseteq H_{(A,0)}^1 \rightarrow H_{(A', \Psi')}^1 \quad (25)$$

can be described in an alternative way.

The spaces $H_{(A,0)}^1$ and $H_{(A', \Psi')}^1$ lie in the different slices $T_{(A,0)}$ and $T_{(A', \Psi')}$ to the action of the gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathcal{C}$. We wish to define a ‘gauge fixing changing’ map

$$g : (A, 0) + T_{(A,0)} \rightarrow (A', \Psi') + T_{(A', \Psi')} ,$$

at least in a neighbourhood of (A', Ψ') , with the following two properties:

- (1) $g((A', \Psi')) = (A', \Psi') ,$
- (2) $[g((A', \Psi') + (a, \Sigma))] = [(A', \Psi') + (a, \Sigma)] .$

Lemma 3.6. *There exists a smooth gauge fixing changing map g satisfying the above two properties such that its derivative $d_{(A', \Psi')} g : T_{(A,0)} \rightarrow T_{(A', \Psi')}$ at (A', Ψ') has the following shape*

$$(d_{(A', \Psi')} g)(a, \Sigma) = (a, \Sigma) + c(a, \Sigma) ,$$

where the norm of the linear map c can be made as small as we wish by choosing (A', Ψ') close enough to $(A, 0)$.

Proof: We apply the implicit function theorem to the map

$$F : L_{l+1}^2(X; \mathfrak{su}(E)) \times T_{(A,0)} \rightarrow L_{l-1}^2(X; \mathfrak{su}(E))$$

$$(\zeta, a, \Sigma) \mapsto d_{(A', \Psi')}^{0,*}(\exp(\zeta)(A' + a, \Psi' + \Sigma)) ,$$

where $\exp : L_{l+1}^2(X; \mathfrak{su}(E)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ denotes the exponential from the Lie-algebra of the gauge group to the gauge group. Note that the partial derivative of F at $(0, 0)$ in the first variable is given by

$$\left. \frac{\partial F}{\partial \zeta} \right|_{(0,0)}(\zeta) = d_{(A', \Psi')}^{0,*} d_{(A', \Psi')}^0(\zeta)$$

As (A', Ψ') is irreducible by assumption the Laplacian $\Delta_{(A', \Psi')}^0 = d_{(A', \Psi')}^{0,*} d_{(A', \Psi')}^0$ is an isomorphism. By the implicit function theorem there is a map γ from a neighbourhood of 0 in $T_{(A,0)}$ to a neighbourhood of 0 in $L_{l+1}^2(X; \mathfrak{su}(E))$ such that

$$d_{(A', \Psi')}^{0,*}(\exp(\gamma(a, \Sigma))(A' + a, \Psi' + \Sigma)) = 0 .$$

The required map g is then given by $g((A', \Psi') + (a, \Sigma)) := \exp(\gamma(a, \Sigma))(A' + a, \Psi' + \Sigma)$. For the derivative we have

$$(d_{(A', \Psi')} g)(a, \Sigma) = (a, \Sigma) - d_{(A', \Psi')}^0 \left(\Delta_{(A', \Psi')}^0 \right)^{-1} d_{(A', \Psi')}^{0,*}(a, \Sigma) .$$

Notice that the operators $d_{(A', \Psi')}^0$ and $\Delta_{(A', \Psi')}^0$ vary continuously with (A', Ψ') . But for $(a, \Sigma) \in T_{(A,0)} = \ker(d_{(A,0)}^{0,*})$ we have

$$d_{(A', \Psi')}^{0,*}(a, \Sigma) = (d_{(A', \Psi')}^{0,*} - d_{(A,0)}^{0,*})(a, \Sigma) ,$$

and the operator $d_{(A', \Psi')}^{0,*} - d_{(A,0)}^{0,*}$ can be made as small as we wish by choosing (A', Ψ') close enough to $(A, 0)$. $\square$

Now notice that the map k of (25), at least when restricted to a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $\Phi \in H_{(A,0)}^1$, is given by

$$k(0, \Phi + \Sigma) = h_{(A', \Psi')}^{-1}((g \circ h_{(A,0)})(0, \Sigma)) .$$

By the Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 2.25 the derivative of k at Φ is given by the form

$$d_\Phi k(\Sigma) = \Sigma + c_{(A', \Psi')}(\Sigma) ,$$

where the linear map $c_{(A', \Psi')}$ can be made as small as we like by choosing (A', Ψ') close enough to $(A, 0)$. In particular, for $\|c_{(A', \Psi')}\| < 1$ the map $d_\Phi k$ is an isomorphism.

We will compare the derivative $d_\Phi k : H_{(A,0)}^1 \rightarrow H_{(A', \Psi')}^1$ to an orientation preserving map. Let R be a right-inverse to the deformation operator $D_{(A,0)}$. We define linear maps

$$\begin{aligned} P_{(A', \Psi')} : H_{(A', \Psi')}^1 &\rightarrow H_{(A,0)}^1 \\ (a, \Sigma) &\mapsto (a, \Sigma) + R(D_{(A,0)} - D_{(A', \Psi')})(a, \Sigma) \end{aligned}$$

which obviously are isomorphisms for (A', Ψ') close enough to $(A, 0)$. In a small enough neighbourhood of $(A, 0)$ in the configuration space $\mathcal{C}$, consisting of regular configurations with at most finite stabilisers only, the maps $P_{(A', \Psi')}$ yield a local trivialisation of the bundle of kernels of the deformation operators $D_{(\omega, \alpha)}$. In particular, the maps $P_{(A', \Psi')}$ are all orientation-preserving with orientations determined by the (lift of the) determinant line bundle $\Theta_{(\omega, \alpha)}(1)$ formed by the family of deformation operators.

We now see that the difference of the two isomorphisms

$$P_{(A', \Psi')}^{-1}, d_\Phi k : H_{(A,0)}^1 \rightarrow H_{(A', \Psi')}^1$$

can be made small enough by choosing (A', Ψ') close enough to $(A, 0)$. Then both of these maps must be orientation-preserving. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aronszajn, *A unique continuation theorem for solutions to elliptic partial differential equations or inequalities of the second order*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées (9), 36, (1957), 235–249.
- [2] S. Donaldson, *The orientation of Yang-Mills moduli spaces and 4-manifold topology*, Journal of Differential Geometry, No. 26 (1987), 397–428.
- [3] S. Donaldson, *An application of gauge theory to four dimensional topology*, Journal of Differential Geometry, No. 18 (1983), 279–315.
- [4] S. Donaldson, P. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs (1990).
- [5] P. Feehan, *Generic metrics, irreducible rank-one $PU(2)$ monopoles, and transversality*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 8 no.5 (2000), 905–967.
- [6] P. Feehan, T. Leness, *$PU(2)$ -monopoles. I: Regularity, Uhlenbeck compactness, and Transversality*, Journal of Differential Geometry, No. 49 (1998), 265–410.
- [7] P. Feehan, T. Leness, *$PU(2)$ monopoles and links of top-level Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces*, J. reine angew. Math. 538 (2001), 57–133.
- [8] P. Feehan, T. Leness, *$PU(2)$ monopoles. II: Top-level Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces and Witten’s conjecture in low degrees*, J. reine angew. Math. 538 (2001), 135–212.
- [9] P. Feehan, T. Leness, *Witten’s conjecture for four-manifolds of simple type*, arxiv:math.DG/0609530 (2006).
- [10] K. Frøyshov, *Monopoles over 4-manifolds containing long necks. I.*, Geometry & Topology 9 (2005), 1–93.
- [11] K. Frøyshov, *Compactness and gluing theory for monopoles*, Geometry & Topology Monographs Vol. 15 (2008).
- [12] M. Furuta, H. Ohta, *Differentiable structures on punctured 4-manifolds*, Topology and its Applications, No. 51 (1993), 291–301.
- [13] P. Kronheimer, *Four-manifold invariants from higher rank bundles*, Journal of Differential Geometry, No. 70 (2005), 59–112.
- [14] P. Kronheimer, T. Mrowka, *Monopoles and Three-Manifolds*, Cambridge University Press (2007).
- [15] A. Lobb, R. Zentner, *On Casson-type instanton moduli spaces over negative definite four-manifolds*, to appear in Quarterly Journal of Mathematics.
- [16] C. Okonek, A. Teleman, *Quaternionic Monopoles*, Comm. Math. Phys., Vol. 180, No. 2 (1996), 363–388.
- [17] V. Pidstrigach, A. Tyurin, *Localization of Donaldson invariants along the Seiberg-Witten classes*, preprint dg-ga/9507004
- [18] D. Ruberman, N. Saveliev, *Casson-type invariants in dimension four*, Geometry and topology of manifolds, Fields Inst. Commun. 47, AMS (2005), 281–306.
- [19] D. Salamon, *Spin geometry and Seiberg-Witten invariants*, book preprint (1996).
- [20] C. Taubes, *Casson’s invariant and gauge theory*, Journal of Differential Geometry, No. 31 (1990), 547–599.
- [21] A. Teleman, *Harmonic sections in sphere bundles, normal neighborhoods of reduction loci, and instanton moduli spaces on definite 4-manifolds*, Geometry & Topology 11 (2007), 1681–1730.
- [22] A. Teleman, *Donaldson Theory on non-Kählerian surfaces and class VII surfaces with $b_2 = 1$* , Invent. Math. 162 (2006), 493–521.
- [23] A. Teleman, *Moduli spaces of $PU(2)$ -Monopoles*, Asian J. Math. Vol. 4, No. 2 (2000), 391–436.
- [24] A. Teleman, *Gauge theoretical methods in the classification of non-Kählerian surfaces*, preprint 2007, to appear in Postnikov Memorial Volume, Banach Center Publications.
- [25] A. Teleman, *Introduction à la Théorie de Jauge*, Lecture Notes available at <http://www.cmi.univ-mrs.fr/~teleman/>
- [26] K. Uhlenbeck, *Connections with L^p Bounds on Curvature*, Communi. Math. Phys. 83 (1982), 31–42.
- [27] R. Zentner, *$PU(N)$ monopoles, higher rank instantons, and the monopole invariants*, preprint 2007.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, 33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY
E-mail address: `rzentner@math.uni-bielefeld.de`